Charles Darwin University

An ecologically framed comparison of the potential for zoonotic transmission of nonhuman and human-infecting species of malaria parasite

Clark, Nicole F.; Taylor-Robinson, Andrew W.

Published in:
Yale Journal of Biology and Medicine

Published: 01/06/2021

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link to publication](#)

YALE JOURNAL OF BIOLOGY AND MEDICINE

YJBM

CELEBRATING 90 YEARS 1928–2018

An Ecologically Framed Comparison of The Potential for Zoonotic Transmission of Non-Human and Human-Infecting Species of Malaria Parasite

Nicole F. Clark[a,b] and Andrew W. Taylor-Robinson[c,d,*]

[a]Institute for Applied Ecology, University of Canberra, Bruce, Australia; [b]College of Medicine and Public Health, Flinders University, Australia; [c]Infectious Diseases Research Group, School of Health, Medical & Applied Sciences, Central Queensland University, Brisbane, Australia; [d]College of Health & Human Sciences, Charles Darwin University, Casuarina, Australia

The threats, both real and perceived, surrounding the development of new and emerging infectious diseases of humans are of critical concern to public health and well-being. Among these risks is the potential for zoonotic transmission to humans of species of the malaria parasite, *Plasmodium*, that have been considered historically to infect exclusively non-human hosts. Recently observed shifts in the mode, transmission, and presentation of malaria among several species studied are evidenced by shared vectors, atypical symptoms, and novel host-seeking behavior. Collectively, these changes indicate the presence of environmental and ecological pressures that are likely to influence the dynamics of these parasite life cycles and physiological make-up. These may be further affected and amplified by such factors as increased urban development and accelerated rate of climate change. In particular, the extended host-seeking behavior of what were once considered non-human malaria species indicates the specialist niche of human malaria parasites is not a limiting factor that drives the success of blood-borne parasites. While zoonotic transmission of non-human malaria parasites is generally considered to not be possible for the vast majority of *Plasmodium* species, failure to consider the feasibility of its occurrence may lead to the emergence of a potentially life-threatening blood-borne disease of humans. Here, we argue that recent trends in behavior among what were hitherto considered to be non-human malaria parasites to infect humans call for a cross-disciplinary, ecologically-focused approach to understanding the complexities of the vertebrate host/mosquito vector/ malaria parasite triangular relationship. This highlights a pressing need to conduct a multi-species investigation for which we recommend the construction of a database to determine ecological differences among all known *Plasmodium* species, vectors, and hosts. Closing this knowledge gap may help to inform alternative means of malaria prevention and control.

*To whom all correspondence should be addressed: Prof Andrew W. Taylor-Robinson, Infectious Diseases Research Group, School of Health, Medical & Applied Sciences, Central Queensland University, 160 Ann Street, Brisbane, QLD 4000, Australia; Tel: +61 7 3295 1185; Email: a.taylor-robinson@cqu.edu.au; ORCID iD: 0000-0001-7342-8348.

INTRODUCTION

Malaria is a disease of vertebrates that is caused by apicomplexan protozoan parasites belonging to the genus *Plasmodium*, among which five species are considered to be specialist human parasites [1], while up to 30 infect non-human primates [2]. There is a broad spectrum of specialization that exists in nature, so that not all specialists are parasites and not all parasites are specialists [3]. However, in changing conditions it might be argued that there are no true specialists and that generalism is simply a means to avoid one's own extinction [3,4]. While it may be reasoned that parasite species which depend on one host only are not likely to stray from such an arrangement, the very existence of zoonoses provides a counterpoint [5]. Like those between humans and *Plasmodium* species, the relationship between host and parasite is not mutually exclusive [6]. Hence, the ability to infect a range of hosts forms a strategic and imperfect relationship, rarely dependent on a single factor but instead a collection of unique and varied biological drivers [7]. One might consider that when placed under specific pressures, all species are destined to specialize. However, this does not guarantee success, especially in the case of parasites, as specialization is rarely conducive to either host or parasite success [3].

As evidenced by the current COVID-19 pandemic as a prime example of a disease of zoonotic origin [8], one need not understand the origin of a disease in order to take control and prevention measures. There are many other examples of epidemics of newly emerging and re-emerging infectious diseases that continue to pose a risk to public health [9]. Indeed, to date only one infectious human disease, smallpox, has been eradicated successfully [10]. Significant proportions of the global population have experienced ill-prepared management of healthcare responses to unexpected localized epidemics that have swept across South America, Asia, and Africa, taking millions of lives [11]. However, prevention is better than cure, particularly when it concerns *new* and emerging infectious zoonotic diseases [12,13]. Without identifying potential threats, we cannot hope to prevent all zoonotic disease, as it is not sufficient to simply identify established human pathogens and to treat only outbreaks of those known diseases that they cause [13-15]. The emergence of SARS-CoV-2 has demonstrated a lack of knowledge in relation to zoonoses, highlighting that what we think we know is often contrary to what we are able to observe, let alone quantify [8,12,13]. Unfortunately, such is the short-term nature of politics that governments are committed to resolve issues head on, identifying a problem only when it becomes one [16], so in effect we wait until the new disease finds us. However, there is undeniable logic in considering that this "band-aid approach" will eventually fail because for zoonotic diseases it is not a question of *if*, but instead *when*, and how soon the next outbreak will occur.

For fear of stating the self-evident, not all infections nor the organisms that cause disease are equal. Many infections are caused by viruses while others are caused by bacteria and some – such as malaria – are caused by protozoan parasites. Given that all species must adapt to survive or else face extinction, a number of factors are independent of infection control procedures [7]. What is now recognized as *Plasmodium* began as a simple protozoan species diverging from a single ancestor under the same pressures as the clade from which it diverged [17]. As for malaria parasites, ecological investigations have revealed that parasites first thought to be specialists infect not one but a kaleidoscope of host organisms, offering plenty of potential to diversify infectious zoonotic disease [3,18]. Therefore, parasitological research that focuses on and assumes an exclusive relationship between a single parasite and a single host species, such as that between humans and *Plasmodium* species, exposes a potential fallacy due to the contraindicatory existence of zoonoses. As history reminds us, diseases can arise in humans from animal reservoirs in just a few short decades; for instance, supposedly "non-human" malaria species are not incapable of making the switch to infect humans [19-21].

Transmitted by the infectious bite of female mosquitoes of the *Anopheles* genus, the blood-borne disease commonly referred to as human malaria has long been considered a major public health concern. The four species of malaria parasite that typically infect human beings are *P. falciparum*, *P. vivax*, *P. malariae*, *P. ovale*, to which may be added two relatively new discoveries of *P. knowlesi* and *P. cynomolgi* [22,23]. Malaria is responsible for the death and suffering of millions of people every year, mainly in the tropics and sub-tropics, so presenting an ongoing global health challenge to reduce its unacceptable burden [5,15,23,24]. Despite decades of development the approval of an efficacious vaccine is still a distant dream, a situation compounded by the continuing heavy reliance on increasingly less effective chemotherapeutic regimens to which parasite resistance has evolved [25]. There is a unique association between vectors, parasites, and their human host species that is complicated further by the transmission of the disease [26]. Moreover, efforts to curb the disease are confounded by the need to control the biting behavior and widespread distribution of *Anopheles* vectors that facilitate transmission of the parasite. In recent times other mosquito species have also been shown to be permissive to infection [27,28]. Due to these complex and compounding factors, how to combat malaria is regarded to be one of the greatest and most enduring problems faced by humanity [20,26].

ECOLOGICAL PERSPECTIVES OF MALARIA

Traditional disciplines such as parasitology that are founded on the observation of host-parasite interactions seek to understand epidemiological concepts [18,20,29]. However, while effective at offering ways to manage outbreaks, these approaches arguably provide little scope for identification of disease origin and hence zoonotic origin or "patient zero" [30]. Malaria is a multi-faceted, multi-dimensional host-parasite system that encompasses a complex interplay of selective pressures, including co-adaptation and speciation, all accelerated under a host-parasite relationship [4,19,31-37]. The association between host and parasite is as complex as that between parasite and vector [33]. Each stage of parasitism is critical to the process, with the end goal to reach the host [27]. By definition, a *Plasmodium* parasite requires a vector for transmission to the human host, yet this target is often confounded by virulence, resistance, and in some cases, by the death of the host [27,38]. Survival of both parasite and host may be seen as the favorable outcome of a complex arms race, where the death of a parasite signals a dead end host and over time repetition of such an event may spell local or global extinction [31,39]. Unfortunately, the success of human malaria is inordinately high [26,40,41]. Thus, finding answers to a multi-faceted, arguably 'wicked' problem requires a similarly multi-faceted approach. At a time when zoonotic diseases are on the rise, we cannot afford to ignore potentially novel solutions, particularly those that are ecologically focused [4].

In order to understand *how* and *why* these infections occur, gaining an awareness of the ecology of malaria parasite systems is crucial [5,34,36,37,42,43]. However, most studies so far have not enabled accurate predictions of wide-scale changes concerning the zoonotic potential of parasite species, including *Plasmodium* [32,33,44]. By focusing narrowly on a select few model species non-human plasmodia are largely ignored. Therefore, it is useful to take a pliable perspective of these variables to predict systems flux [42,44,45]. As is frequently attempted with ecological investigations, such a view may be constructed by drawing on both new and existing knowledge that does not limit our observations to a possible specialist fallacy [3,46].

Studies that attempt to describe limiting factors among non-human malaria species are unfortunately seemingly obscured by an overwhelming body of literature that captures only a fraction of malaria-host interactions, while no research has explored the zoonotic potential and risks of non-human malaria parasites [27,47]. The application of genetics has refined potential nuances as all forms of life on earth are bound by the species concept [48], including blood-borne parasites [49]. Certainly,

the success of human malarias indicates a unique and highly specialized host-parasite association [35,50,51]. Although the relative contributions of genetics versus environment are not discussed in depth here, importantly Darwinian explanations that focus on the species concept highlight the need to understand ecological perspectives which elucidate *how* specific parasite species – regardless of their phylogenetic origin – operate in their present environment, *before* they are labelled as the etiological agent of a new zoonotic disease. Thus, identifying such risk factors offers the potential to determine not only risk species but how each operates within its current ecological niche [7,14,30,52].

Several studies have employed a mixed methods approach to start to explore multi-species dimensions [35]. For instance, a few species that are etiological agents of rodent and primate malarias are being explored in place of species that cause malaria in humans [41,42,50,53]. Additionally, species that cause avian malaria have been utilized to model the transmission and overlap of multiple host and vector species by comparing risks associated with potential zoonosis [36,45,54]. In general, however, standardized methodologies limit these comparisons to a single or handful of species of either host or parasite, largely ignoring a plethora of unidentified risk factors in other potential risk species [35]. Consequently, these investigations lack insight and thus represent neither an ecological nor a multi-species approach capable of detecting critical changes that lead to zoonotic disease outbreak [31,32].

While a strong inference from these alternative studies is that multiple species can provide a platform for inquiry [6,42], there has been precious little research to address potential shifts in the various mechanisms that drive the transmission of human malaria species. Importantly, surveillance studies should consider zoonotic risk from an ecological perspective and identify factors among all *Plasmodium* species, especially those thought capable of making a switch to humans. The proceeding sections discuss potential risk factors from both biological and ecological perspectives. Such multi-disciplinary observations may not only help to identify risk factors among existing human malarias but also to determine zoonotic risk relating to a broad range of apicomplexan parasite species.

ZOONOTIC RISK

The incidence of zoonoses is on the rise [52,55]. This poses a significant public health concern that among malaria-host systems has yet to be addressed [4,35,39,43]. As discussed above, several studies have shown that parasite species respond differently to environmental and ecological pressures which accelerate changes in these

systems [4,6,36,51,56,57]. Identifying and/or evaluating the perceived risk among new and emerging infectious diseases is an important step towards the development of strategies that may be implemented to control infectious disease epidemics [39,43,51]. An equally vital step is to gain an understanding from an ecological perspective of the mechanisms that drive the development of these diseases [4]. Only through a comparative examination of the responses of multiple species experiencing the same environmental pressures can we start to comprehend those which present the greatest threat of zoonotic disease in humans [29,34,36,37,58].

It is important to ascertain whether physiological and biological limitations truly exist among all non-human blood-borne parasites. As a result, and if subject to selective pressures, might zoonotic potential exist on a sliding scale such that some species present as a greater risk than others? If so, concentrating solely on *Plasmodium* species that currently infect humans is not necessarily an accurate predictor of future human malaria risk factors since narrowing the focus may inadvertently dismiss the zoonotic potential of other blood-borne apicomplexans [27,36,37,51,59]. As a result, it may be important to consider not only how human malaria species adapt to change, such as through selective mutations for chloroquine resistance [60], but how different or how well neighboring species infecting other organisms also perform or respond to these changes [30]. This is because, despite the apparent differences between non-human and human malaria parasites to selective pressures [51], accelerated ecological and evolutionary drivers, such as rate of climate change and human encroachment [4], can drastically alter the behavior of blood-dwelling parasites, especially their biology and physiology [61].

SPONTANEOUS MUTATIONS

From an anthropological perspective it is easy to overlook the fact that malaria is not exclusively a disease afflicting humanity. Hence, the evolutionary success of malaria parasites is not measured exclusively by the infection of humans [62]. Malaria parasites belong to a diverse phylum known as Apicomplexa, wherein several species belonging to the order Haemosporidia [21,34,36,37,62] are known to inflict malaria or malaria-like symptoms on a range of vertebrate host species [63]. The family Plasmodiidae comprises more than 200 species of the genus *Plasmodium* which cause malaria in animals, among them the five aforementioned species that are now recognized to infect humans [21]. Evolutionarily convergent with this, in a suggested polyphyletic group in the family Haemoproteidae, are several species of the genus *Haemoproteus,* which are also known to cause malaria among, birds, reptiles, and amphibians – collective-

ly (if, arguably, incorrectly) referred to as "avian malaria" [34,36,39,62,63].

Most parasites that cause malaria rarely specialize; species which are responsible for avian malaria form an incredibly diverse taxon [34,36,37]. Their adaptability and potential to acquire new hosts is not an exception to the rule as most species are generalists [17,18,36,37,64-66]. However, physiological differences between avian malaria and human malaria do exist. Avian malaria parasites belonging to the Plasmodiidae (a taxon also including the Haemoproteidae) do not undergo asexual reproduction during the blood stage of the life cycle, a key difference that distinguishes *Haemoproteus* from *Plasmodium* and thus human malarias [21,35,51].

Considerable debate has long existed regarding the phylogeny of malaria parasites. Current thinking is that of the recognized human species at least *P. falciparum* is unlikely to have originated from an avian counterpart but instead is of ape origin [51,67]. An earlier proposal of haemosporidian ancestry remains unresolved as different research on limited DNA sequence data examined mitochondrial and apicoplast lineages, thereby leading to contradictory findings [5,25,34,65,68-70].

There has not been a recorded case of infection of humans by any avian malaria parasite species. An unethical study performed in the 1940s that saw two individuals of African descent inoculated with *P. relictum* demonstrated a slight rise in temperature but did not induce malaria. It was concluded that a lack of blood schizogony may explain why avian malarias do not cause disease in humans [71]. However, human disease potential has been examined for only one of several species of avian malaria. Asexual blood stage parasites are also prone to spontaneous epigenetic activation, making them physiologically capable of altering their reproductive strategies to suit prevailing conditions [72]. This suggests that if even a single limiting factor is breached, leading to just one isolated event (namely asexual reproduction by an avian malaria), hypothetically it is feasible that a single oocyte could result in a new human disease [73]. This scenario presently poses a low zoonotic risk. Yet, we should be vigilant to the future possibility of selective pressures exerted by escalating anthropogenic changes to the environment, such as climate conditions or geographical access, driving the emergence of novel parasite phenotypes [74,75].

The ability to switch between alternative reproductive strategies, such as turning on and off asexual reproduction, is firmly accepted for many unicellular organisms (prokaryotes) when subjected to rapid changes in environmental stressors such as temperature and oxygen levels [51,76-78]. Several possibilities for mutation are likely to exist as blood schizogony is not a limiting factor, extra-erythrocytic development also occurring in the bone

marrow [79]. Thus, arguably the zoonotic threat from avian malarias cannot be excluded [27,79]; several species that do not infect humans infect our close relatives – a key marker of zoonotic potential [70,80]. The phylogenetic origin of blood-borne parasites [34,36,37,81] does not compromise their ability to induce disease in higher order eukaryotes [66]. Indeed, we know that zoonoses of other pathogens can emerge even among distantly related species, as evidenced very recently by SARS-CoV-2 outbreaks reportedly originating from animal reservoirs of bats, pangolins, and mink [8,82]. In common with all disease-causing microbes, *Plasmodium* species undergo genetic mutation. Hence, while the possibility of people becoming infected by malaria parasites of avian origin and/or other haemosporidians may be slim, it should not be ruled out.

The propensity for spontaneous mutations is also established for human malaria species, exemplified by drug resistance to chloroquine and artemisinin [60]. There are several instances where spontaneous mutations have been reported among multiple *Plasmodium* species [83], suggesting current limitations of non-human malaria parasites are likely to be temporary. Risks may also come through close contact between humans and animals, providing an additional route for spontaneous mutations to occur. Additionally, recent research on the behavior of avian malarias suggests that one species may alter the behavior of its mosquito vector but the exact function of this is still not known; this knowledge gap could be masking a serious public health risk [61]. Furthermore, assessment of the genomic ancestry of six genetically distinct populations of *P. falciparum* in sub-Saharan Africa demonstrated that the phylogeography of human malaria parasites is subject to artificial pressures, selecting for resistance to uncomplicated treatments [5,24]. Genetic variance and inbreeding occurred among these isolates from the time antimalarials was introduced, where selective evolutionary constituents passed on artemisinin resistance associated with human populations [24].

While biological and physiological differences in non-human malaria parasites – in a vacuum – may *initially* act as barriers to limit zoonotic potential, given their unicellular nature and rapid replication rates, the genetic and life history traits of these organisms suggest the contrary [66,70].

MITOCHONDRIA AND PLASTIDS

Spontaneous mutations may also occur in organisms of secondary endosymbiotic origin. Plastids are highly sensitive organelles able to alter their function according to specific changes in their environment, such as light, temperature, and water loss [47]. Organisms containing a plastid can substantially alter their biology in response to epigenetic cues immediately following environmental triggers [47,84]. Unique to all apicomplexans, species of the order Haemosporidia contain a remnant chloroplast or plastid, an endosymbiotic organelle referred to as the apicoplast [85]. This ancestor of chloroplasts has lost its photosynthetic capability but has retained components of the electron transport chain for photosystem I, ie, ferredoxin and NAD^+ [85].

The apicoplast is an indispensable organelle, functioning as an important site for the metabolism of haem and type II fatty acid synthesis, making it an excellent drug target [86,87]. However, the precise role that these metabolic pathways play in the reproductive strategies and/or virulence of malaria parasites is not completely known [88-90]. Additionally, the versatility and flexibility of plastids in secondary endosymbiosis is well-documented, including accounts of complete migration of plastome transcripts and spontaneous activation of complete nuclear transcription for photosystem I and photosystem II [91,92]. Complete recovery of a photosystem in the prokaryote cyanobacterium *Anabaena* has been reported [92]. Similarly, a non-photosynthesizing apicomplexan in the gut of live corals contains the full complement of genes involved in chlorophyll biosynthesis – enabling the genetic capacity when prompted [93]. These diverse examples draw attention to the potential threat carried by advantageous and spontaneous mutations among apicomplexans.

Plastids can function with minimal genes and several photosynthetic eukaryotes are shown to adapt despite this apparent limitation, indicating possible flawed rationale in designing drugs to arrest plastids and thus, indirectly, parasite function. Plastomes of two mutant plants seemingly functioning normally showed complete arrest of photosystem II, a key component of respiration – but which apicomplexans function without – yet with survival of chloroplast function [94,95]. Similarly, plastids found in parasitic plants function comparatively to those in apicomplexans [96]. Several species of parasitic plant containing a plastid have evolved beyond a reliance, either partial or complete, for photosynthesis [96]. This marks an important parallel between parasites and the perceived competence of plastids to function efficiently while possessing minimal hardware required for energy production. This highlights the risk that spontaneous mutations to existing human malaria species as well as to new potential zoonotic species may pose to human wellbeing.

The plastid is not the only apicomplexan organelle that is subject to spontaneous mutations as the mitochondrion is also susceptible to changes. In fact, human malaria parasite species are known to alter the function of mitochondria as well as the apicoplast, evidenced by resistance to specifically targeted drugs [5,97]. It is

appropriate here to reiterate that plant parasites, which like apicomplexan parasites also share both a plastid and a mitochondrion, offer a potentially useful comparison. For the parasitic mistletoe *Viscum album* it was reported that massive gene loss had occurred due to epigenetic phosphorylation, causing altered biochemical pathways. The parasite was found to completely lack mitochondrial respiratory complex I activity [98,99], an essential function of the mitochondrial electron transport chain that until recently was considered indispensable to mistletoe. Drawing comparisons between apicomplexans and plant parasite species can provide insight into the strengths and weaknesses concerning the function of indispensable organelles in parasite species, particularly if the mitochondrial and plastid genome sequences of both are known. These examples provide support for the notion that stressors, both environmental and artificial, may trigger spontaneous mutations in secondary endosymbiotic organelles of parasite species, and thus which may be applied to the apicoplast or mitochondria in *Plasmodium* [5,24,90,96]. Monitoring such risks may confer an additional benefit by offering a deeper insight into the future management and control of existing and new human malaria species [87,100].

The zoonotic potential caused by spontaneous mutations among apicomplexan parasite species should not be overlooked [27,36,59,81]. This suggests that recognized physiological barriers such as a lack of blood schizogony and organelle function do not appear to limit the potential risk factors towards zoonotic malaria. Such false securities more than likely run contrary to any risks imposed on new and emerging infectious diseases and thus must be identified [22,37,61,81,101]. Hence, regardless of any differences between non-human and human malaria species, available evidence suggests that species that do not currently infect humans could, in response to stressors, still be capable of spontaneous mutations [21,36].

SHARED VECTORS

Despite the numerosity of blood-borne parasites, records of vector species are limited. In particular, knowledge concerning vectors of avian malaria are fragmented at best and in some cases data on vectors are absent entirely, particularly for those that infect reptiles [54,64,102-104]. Although not considered to induce disease in humans, a number of vectors of non-human malaria still bite humans [105-108]. Therefore, with the exception of *P. relictum* it is unclear whether or not even a single one of these species is capable of transmitting infection to humans or whether one or more species may already cause as yet unrecognized disease in humans.

Amplifying this risk is the sharing of vectors between humans and animals, particularly those that transmit non-human malaria but also come into contact with humans to transmit other human diseases such as lymphatic filariasis [109,110]. Likewise, some vectors of avian malaria include those that transmit human malaria [64,105]. While *Haemoproteus* is not yet known to infect humans, recent findings demonstrate frequent contact between humans and this species does occur. Dissection of known dipteran vectors for species of avian malaria revealed that blood meals contained both the host and human blood, indicating a shared zoonotic risk for *Plasmodium* species, but also for transmission of other blood-borne pathogens [64,103,105].

NOVEL HOSTS AND VECTORS

Given the vast body of literature available it is difficult to discern clearly novel host trends, yet the etiology, epidemiology, and case reports of human malaria have changed over recent decades. Documented risks of the disease and the number of parasites that routinely infect humans are continuing to rise [22,57,101,111]. Moreover, the emergence of human *Plasmodium* infection have occurred via zoonoses of primate malaria parasites [53,112]. It was long thought that only four species are capable of naturally infecting humans. However, due to the discovery of zoonosis of *P. knowlesi* and now more recently *P. cynomolgi* this count has risen to six [22,113,114]. It should be noted at this point that *P. cynomolgi* has been identified only as a novel threat and is not yet officially recognized as a disease of concern [115]. Additionally, some of these species, notably *P. ovale*, contain sub-species, demonstrating plasticity among the genetic profile of human malaria parasites [116-118].

While the success of *Plasmodium* species is most likely attributed to asexual reproduction of blood stage parasites in the host [51,119], partially lowering zoonotic risk for other species, there are several plasmodia that reproduce by blood schizogony [80]. As a consequence, a number of *Plasmodium* species infecting primates have been found to be "infection compatible" with humans [53]. In fact, several cases of zoonotic infection have been recorded. Controversial studies carried out in the 1960s involved inoculations of blood containing *P. cynomolgi*, *P. simium, P. brasilianum,* and *P. inui*, all of which are known to infect non-human primates. Each of these species induced malarial infections in humans, the only difference being that they were not naturally acquired, as no natural vector for humans could be identified [113,120-122]. Alarmingly, this absence of a permissive vector to transmit non-human primate malaria parasites is all that stands in the way of preventing "infection compatible" species from causing a potentially devastating zoonotic outbreak [53,113,114,120,121]. Currently, *P. brasilianum* and *P. simium* are classified as distinct species to *P. ma-*

lariae and *P. vivax*, respectively [123,124], with which they are morphologically similar and likely genetically synonymous. As for initial reports of *P. cynomolgi*, infections of these two primate malaria parasites were very recently naturally acquired but records of the vectors responsible for disease transmission are lacking [122,125]. It is therefore unclear if existing or new vectors contributed to transmission, ie, whether or not vectors of non-human primates were responsible for transmission of these zoonotic parasites [122,125,126]. If naturally acquired infections of these species continue to occur they may induce severe clinical symptoms of malaria in humans, each leading to the potential development of a novel human disease, thus representing a series of zoonotic risks that cannot willfully be ignored [35,56,126].

As discussed previously, extensive zoonosis has already occurred in a number of instances [121,127,128]. Improved DNA-based diagnostics have contributed to increased case reporting of *P. knowlesi* malaria in humans, although infection with this parasite species was probably quite prevalent historically [129]. Indeed, the identification of wide-scale infections of *P. knowlesi* in Southeast Asia was the key factor that led to the declaration of a fifth human malaria parasite [128]. This is despite human-to-human transmission being deemed unlikely [130]. Furthermore, natural transmission of *P. knowlesi* occurred through the bite of a mosquito that also transmits malaria among a species of macaque. Seemingly due to close contact of people with vectors of primate malaria, a single zoonotic event resulted in the new human disease that is evident today [22,112,121,127,131]. Of concern, the vector(s) responsible for transmission of *P. brasilianum and P. simium* are not known. In the coming decades both of these species may be declared a seventh or eighth human malaria parasite [121-123]. This dearth of information concerning both parasites and vectors of human malaria means that our knowledge on this subject is lagging behind. Investigation of zoonotic blood-borne parasites is in its infancy, yet it is critical these risks be identified so that robust public health measures can be implemented [127]. It is difficult to ascertain whether the presentation of new human malaria species is an artefact of recent change or rather it is simply the revelation of interactions that have always occurred. It may be objectively argued that several studies point to the increased intensity of these interactions in recent decades [22,106,131,132].

On a similar theme, recent research suggests the occurrence of crypsis among vectors such that there may be undescribed species of anopheline mosquito additionally transmitting malaria among humans [103]. Again, it is currently not clear whether these interactions have always occurred or whether the ecology of vectors, along with malaria parasite species, has also altered in recent

decades. This adds yet another layer of complexity to zoonotic risk factors as several studies have uncovered accounts of malaria caused by *Plasmodium* species that were initially misdiagnosed, all in locations where a naturally acquired infection was reported [48,114,133]. This raises the likelihood of misidentification of both parasite and vector species [134,135], as a consequence of which identification of potential new disease transmission may be delayed. There is increasing evidence that *P. vivax*, *P. malariae*, and *P. ovale* are often misdiagnosed by conventional light microscopy examination of Giemsa-stained blood smears in regions where there is overlapping distribution of these species. Notably, *P. malariae* is frequently mistaken for *P. ovale* [136-138]. Such errors could quite feasibly extend to the misidentification of a novel human disease as an established human malaria – perhaps due in part to unconscious bias by the microscopist.

Malaria parasite-host triangulation and the strategies of these species appear more plastic and less specialized than initially defined [5,24,56]. Several *Plasmodium* species have likely adapted to exploit new and different ecological niches. Hence, hosts, parasites, and vectors of malaria are each driven to evolve under selection pressures, particularly now in response to anthropogenic factors such as increased encroachment of forested areas through urbanization and the accelerated rate of climate change [5,7,24,30,59,139]. This strongly indicates that blood-borne parasite species are already responding to such environmental flux by seeking new hosts, thus achieving novel ways to transmit malaria. As a result, it is highly probable that a number of plasmodia may be capable of switching host, as suggested by several examples [48,59,105]. However, it should be recognized that adaptation of *Plasmodium* species to their *Anopheles* mosquito vectors involves a complex molecular interplay of non-specific protein expressions [140]. Such interactions between parasite and host are not determined by a single factor. Hence, further research is required to distinguish normal risk from that of zoonotic risk, ie, drivers of disease enhancement versus apparent zoonotic risk factors.

CRYPTIC AND NON-ENDEMIC DISEASE

The means of spread of established human *Plasmodium* species is well-documented and the distribution of both parasites and vectors is closely monitored by surveillance systems in endemic countries at local, regional, and national levels. Nevertheless, it is important to identify how changing malaria parasite behavior, particularly novel vector transmission, will drive the development and geographical expansion of hitherto unrecognized disease risks. In particular, a need for epidemiological vigilance applies to existing human malaria parasites, especially in non-endemic regions. Asymptomatic cases of human

malaria are on the rise, making it challenging to detect the disease across all continents [141-143]. Incidence of asymptomatic or latent malaria infections are increasingly recognized as major contributors to the chronicity of local community disease outbreaks, especially in endemic regions where they act as reservoirs of persistent parasitemia [144]. Once thought to be benign, the long-term health of subpatent individuals is now considered to be compromised since asymptomatic malaria has been recently linked to severe presentations of malnutrition, auto-immune deficiencies, and neuropathological abnormalities [143-145].

As asymptomatic cases of malaria often pass unnoticed in non-endemic regions any suspicions of a patient are likely to be dismissed by an unsuspecting medical practitioner, so chronic illness, and even in extreme cases, death may ensue [143]. Situations have arisen in which malaria was contracted via blood transfusion as, due to a lack of screening, asymptomatic donors unknowingly transmitted the disease to immunocompromised patients [142]. Moreover, mixed infections with multiple parasite species are reported with increasing frequency [146-148]. Similarly, some of these infections, dual or otherwise, are increasingly shown to resurge after cessation of initial treatment, as well as to last very long times – three decades or more, with one case reported to be of 50 years' duration [117,149].

Asymptomatic cases of malaria have also made their way into non-endemic regions, where a phenomenon known as "baggage malaria" occurs [150]. This term describes how an asymptomatic or infectious but untreated person arriving from an endemic region passes on malaria to one or more individuals who are close contacts living in a non-endemic region [150]. Hence, by virtue of close proximity – such as living in the same household – and despite themselves not entering an endemic region, the third party contracts the disease via the bite of a novel yet compatible non-endemic mosquito species belonging to the genus *Anopheles* [133]. Asymptomatic baggage malaria likely results from a failure of continual prevention strategies and/or initial adequate treatment of the disease [151]. Since few cases of baggage malaria are symptomatic, authorities are not on high alert to the threat so that asymptomatic infections tend to go unrecognized, thereby creating an opportunity for the spread of imported malaria [141]. Temperature may also play a role, wherein the risk of baggage malaria may increase when infected individuals in non-endemic regions experience a similar climate to endemic regions [152]. This highlights the emerging threat posed by climate change due to the effect on newly permissive vectors and novel presentations of malaria in both endemic and non-endemic regions. Rising temperatures may lead to malaria gaining a foothold in places where it has not occurred in the recent past and where awareness of its dangers would be slow to be recognized.

CONCLUSION

The cumulative anthropogenic pressures imposed by escalating human development, urbanization, and accelerated rate of climate change are already shown to significantly alter malaria parasite behavior. Changes in the disease itself over recent decades are strong indicators of zoonotic risk. Exposure of *Plasmodium* species to fluctuating environmental conditions have altered clinical presentations, leading to greater intensity of symptoms, ie, drug-resistant strains causing the death of symptomatic individuals and the detrimental long-term health of asymptomatic individuals. Exacerbating these elevated public health risks is a lack of awareness of disease characteristics, potential to contract disease, and zoonotic threat.

Only a thin veil exists between non-human malaria parasites, zoonotic transmission, and the development of new, potentially deadly, human disease. As it stands, that veil is probably gossamer-thin among parasites that infect primates. Serious risks are likely to surface as a result of failure to track and identify species for which human disease (naturally acquired or otherwise) is already documented. It should be stressed that a local absence of a permissive vector is all that stands between an identifiable infectious disease and an emerging disease outbreak. This potentially deadly threat, and others such as novel species, host sharing, and spontaneous mutations at the micro- and macro-scale, should not be viewed as limiting factors that constrain zoonotic transmission.

Complacency in each of the areas described will most likely lead to a mounting threat of disease. While some risks are clearly greater than others (for example, absence of blood stage schizogony and long-term virulence in parasite physiology), in order to avoid catastrophic scenarios as emphasized by infectious diseases of pandemic resurgence, each potential constituent of zoonotic malaria should be considered among all haemosporidians. Any observable differences between *Plasmodium* and other apicomplexans are rudimentary at best. As the history of the scourge of malaria on humankind repeatedly warns, the biological threat from these prokaryotic parasitic organisms is inordinately high. Given such compounding factors as human encroachment and climate change, the risks from malaria look set to rise in coming decades. These risks create novel scenarios that, if undiscovered and/or uncontrolled, may facilitate the emergence of a zoonotic malaria, causing a new human disease that carries the threat of an epidemic or even pandemic outcome.

REFERENCES

1. Sato S. *Plasmodium*-a brief introduction to the parasites causing human malaria and their basic biology. J Physiol Anthropol. 2021 Jan;40(1):1.
2. Faust C, Dobson AP. Primate malarias: Diversity, distribution and insights for zoonotic *Plasmodium*. One Health. 2015 Oct;1:66–75.
3. Hoberg EP, Brooks DR. Evolution in action: climate change, biodiversity dynamics and emerging infectious disease. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci. 2015 Apr;370(1665):1–7.
4. Hotez PJ. Human parasitology and parasitic diseases: heading towards 2050. Adv Parasitol. 2018;100:29–38.
5. Agosta SJ, Janz N, Brooks DR. How specialists can be generalists: resolving the and "parasite paradox" and implications for emerging infectious disease. Zoologia. 2010;27(2):151–62.
6. Aleuy OA, Kutz S. Adaptations, life-history traits and ecological mechanisms of parasites to survive extremes and environmental unpredictability in the face of climate change. Int J Parasitol Parasites Wildl. 2020 Jul;12:308–17.
7. Amambua-Ngwa A, Amenga-Etego L, Kamau E, Amato R, Ghansah A, Golassa L, et al. Major subpopulations of *Plasmodium falciparum* in sub-Saharan Africa. Science. 2019 Aug;365(6455):813–6.
8. Abbate JL, Ezenwa VO, Guégan JF, Choisy M, Nacher M, Roche B. Disentangling complex parasite interactions: protection against cerebral malaria by one helminth species is jeopardized by co-infection with another. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2018 May;12(5):e0006483.
9. Leung TL. Fossils of parasites: what can the fossil record tell us about the evolution of parasitism? Biol Rev Camb Philos Soc. 2017 Feb;92(1):410–30.
10. Mackenzie JS, Smith DW. COVID-19: a novel zoonotic disease caused by a coronavirus from China: what we know and what we don't. Microbiol Aust. 2020 Mar;41(1):MA20013.
11. Abebe EC, Dejenie TA, Shiferaw MY, Malik T. The newly emerged COVID-19 disease: a systemic review. Virol J. 2020 Jul;17(1):96.
12. Breman JG, Arita I. The confirmation and maintenance of smallpox eradication. N Engl J Med. 1980 Nov;303(22):1263–73.
13. Fenollar F, Mediannikov O. Emerging infectious diseases in Africa in the 21st century. New Microbes New Infect. 2018 Sep;26:S10–8.
14. Bloom DE, Black S, Rappuoli R. Emerging infectious diseases: A proactive approach. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2017 Apr;114(16):4055–9.
15. Venkatramanan S, Lewis B, Chen J, Higdon D, Vullikanti A, Marathe M. Using data-driven agent-based models for forecasting emerging infectious diseases. Epidemics. 2018 Mar;22:43–9.
16. Nghochuzie NN, Olwal CO, Udoakang AJ, Amenga-Etego LN, Amambua-Ngwa A. Pausing the fight against malaria to combat the COVID-19 pandemic in Africa: is the future of malaria bleak? Front Microbiol. 2020 Jun;11:1476.
17. Bensch S, Canbäck B, DeBarry JD, Johansson T, Hellgren O, Kissinger JC, et al. The genome of haemoproteus tartakovskyi and its relationship to human malaria parasites. Genome Biol Evol. 2016 May;8(5):1361–73.
18. Park AW, Farrell MJ, Schmidt JP, Huang S, Dallas TA, Pappalardo P, et al. Characterizing the phylogenetic specialism-generalism spectrum of mammal parasites. Proc R Soc B Biol Sci. 2018;285(1874):20172613. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2017.2613.
19. Best A, Ashby B, White A, Bowers R, Buckling A, Koskella B, et al. Host-parasite fluctuating selection in the absence of specificity. Proc R Soc B Biol Sci. 2017;284(1866):20171615. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2017.1615.
20. Lover AA, Baird JK, Gosling R, Price RN. Malaria elimination: time to target all species. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2018 Jul;99(1):17–23.
21. Galen SC, Borner J, Martinsen ES, Schaer J, Austin CC, West CJ, et al. The polyphyly of *Plasmodium*: comprehensive phylogenetic analyses of the malaria parasites (order Haemosporida) reveal widespread taxonomic conflict. R Soc Open Sci. 2018 May;5(5):171780.
22. Cox-Singh J. *Plasmodium knowlesi*: experimental model, zoonotic pathogen and golden opportunity? Parasitology. 2018 Jan;145(1):1–5.
23. World Health Organization. World Malaria Report. 2017. 196 pp. Available from: https://www.who.int/malaria/publications/world-malaria-report-2017/report/en/
24. Sibley CH. Genomic analysis informs malaria evolution. Science. 2019 Aug;365(6455):752–3.
25. Draper SJ, Sack BK, King CR, Nielsen CM, Rayner JC, Higgins MK, et al. Malaria vaccines: recent advances and new horizons. Cell Host Microbe. 2018 Jul;24(1):43–56.
26. World Health Organization. World Malaria Report. 2014. 242 pp. Available from: https://www.who.int/malaria/publications/world_malaria_report_2014/report/en/
27. Ward M, Benelli G. Avian and simian malaria: do they have a cancer connection? Parasitol Res. 2017 Mar;116(3):839–45.
28. Hazra DK, Samanta A, Karmakar R, Sen K, Bakshi P. Mosquito vector management knowledge, attitude, practices and future of user & environment friendly new generation botanical mosquitocide formulations: a review. Int J Chem Stud. 2017;5(3):32–7.
29. Stothard JR, Littlewood DT, Gasser RB, Webster BL. Advancing the multi-disciplinarity of parasitology within the British Society for Parasitology: studies of host-parasite evolution in an ever-changing world. Parasitology. 2018 Nov;145(13):1641–6.
30. Gibb R, Franklinos LH, Redding DW, Jones KE. Ecosystem perspectives are needed to manage zoonotic risks in a changing climate. BMJ. 2020 Nov;371:m3389.
31. Poulin R, Keeney DB. Host specificity under molecular and experimental scrutiny. Trends Parasitol. 2008 Jan;24(1):24–8.
32. Poulin R, Krasnov BR, Mouillot D, Thieltges DW. The comparative ecology and biogeography of parasites. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci. 2011 Aug;366(1576):2379–90.
33. Penczykowski RM, Laine AL, Koskella B. Understanding the ecology and evolution of host-parasite interactions across scales. Evol Appl. 2015 Aug;9(1):37–52.

34. Clark NJ. Phylogenetic uniqueness, not latitude, explains the diversity of avian blood parasite communities worldwide. Glob Ecol Biogeogr. 2018;27(6):744–55.

35. Smith ML, Styczynski MP. Systems biology-based investigation of host–*Plasmodium* interactions. Trends Parasitol. 2018 Jul;34(7):617–32.

36. Fecchio A, Wells K, Bell JA, Tkach VV, Lutz HL, Weckstein JD, et al. Climate variation influences host specificity in avian malaria parasites. Ecol Lett. 2019 Mar;22(3):547–57.

37. Fecchio A, Bell JA, Pinheiro RB, Cueto VR, Gorosito CA, Lutz HL, et al. Avian host composition, local speciation and dispersal drive the regional assembly of avian malaria parasites in South American birds. Mol Ecol. 2019 May;28(10):2681–93.

38. Douglas A, Draper S, Hill A, Williams A, Illingworth J. Treatment and prevention of malaria. 2015;Patent 9:181,313. Available from: https://patents.google.com/patent/US9181313B2/en

39. Gutiérrez-López R, Bourret V, Loiseau C. Is host selection by mosquitoes driving vector specificity of parasites? A review on the avian malaria model. Front Ecol Evol. 2020;8:287.

40. Ramasamy R. Zoonotic malaria - global overview and research and policy needs. Front Public Health. 2014 Aug;2:123.

41. Wu J, Tian L, Yu X, Pattaradilokrat S, Li J, Wang M, et al. Strain-specific innate immune signaling pathways determine malaria parasitemia dynamics and host mortality. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2014 Jan;111(4):E511–20.

42. Boundenga L, Ngoubangoye B, Ntie S, Moukodoum ND, Renaud F, Rougeron V, et al. Rodent malaria in Gabon: diversity and host range. Int J Parasitol Parasites Wildl. 2019 Aug;10:117–24.

43. Johnson PT, de Roode JC, Fenton A. Why infectious disease research needs community ecology. Science. 2015 Sep;349(6252):1259504.

44. Aebischer T, Matuschewski K, Hartmann S. Editorial: Parasite infections: from experimental models to natural systems. Front Cell Infect Microbiol. 2018 Feb;8:12.

45. Pigeault R, Vézilier J, Cornet S, Zélé F, Nicot A, Perret P, et al. Avian malaria: a new lease of life for an old experimental model to study the evolutionary ecology of *Plasmodium*. Philos Trans R Soc B Biol Sci. 2015;370(1675):20140300.

46. Zurell D, Pollock LJ, Thuiller W. Do joint species distribution models reliably detect interspecific interactions from co-occurrence data in homogenous environments? Ecography. 2018;41(11):1812–9.

47. Ponce-Toledo RI, López-García P, Moreira D. Horizontal and endosymbiotic gene transfer in early plastid evolution. New Phytol. 2019 Oct;224(2):618–24.

48. Perkins SL. Species concepts and malaria parasites: detecting a cryptic species of *Plasmodium*. Proc Biol Sci. 2000 Nov;267(1459):2345–50.

49. Aldhebiani AY. Species concept and speciation. Saudi J Biol Sci. 2018 Mar;25(3):437–40.

50. Minkah NK, Schafer C, Kappe SH. Humanized mouse models for the study of human malaria parasite biology, pathogenesis, and immunity. Front Immunol. 2018 Apr;9:807.

51. Birget PL, Greischar MA, Reece SE, Mideo N. Altered life history strategies protect malaria parasites against drugs. Evol Appl. 2017 Nov;11(4):442–55.

52. Vanhove MP, Thys S, Decaestecker E, Antoine-Moussiaux N, De Man J, Hugé J, et al. Global change increases zoonotic risk, COVID-19 changes risk perceptions: a plea for urban nature connectedness. Cities Health. 2020:1–9.

53. Sharp PM, Plenderleith LJ, Hahn BH. Ape origins of human malaria. Annu Rev Microbiol. 2020 Sep;74(1):39–63.

54. Otero L, Schall JJ, Cruz V, Aaltonen K, Acevedo MA. The drivers and consequences of unstable *Plasmodium* dynamics: a long-term study of three malaria parasite species infecting a tropical lizard. Parasitology. 2018 Oct;146(4):1–9.

55. Belay ED, Kile JC, Hall AJ, Barton-Behravesh C, Parsons MB, Salyer S, et al. Zoonotic disease programs for enhancing global health security. Emerg Infect Dis. 2017 Dec;23(13):S65–70.

56. Nada Raja T, Hu TH, Zainudin R, Lee KS, Perkins SL, Singh B. Malaria parasites of long-tailed macaques in Sarawak, Malaysian Borneo: a novel species and demographic and evolutionary histories. BMC Evol Biol. 2018 Apr;18(1):49.

57. Dayananda KK, Achur RN, Gowda DC. Epidemiology, drug resistance, and pathophysiology of *Plasmodium vivax* malaria. J Vector Borne Dis. 2018 Jan-Mar;55(1):1–8.

58. Bernot RJ, Poulin R. Ecological stoichiometry for parasitologists. Trends Parasitol. 2018 Nov;34(11):928–33.

59. Pulgarín-R PC, Gómez JP, Robinson S, Ricklefs RE, Cadena CD. Host species, and not environment, predicts variation in blood parasite prevalence, distribution, and diversity along a humidity gradient in northern South America. Ecol Evol. 2018 Mar;8(8):3800–14.

60. Kariuki SN, Williams TN. Human genetics and malaria resistance. Hum Genet. 2020 Jun;139(6-7):801–11.

61. Cornet S, Nicot A, Rivero A, Gandon S. Avian malaria alters the dynamics of blood feeding in *Culex pipiens* mosquitoes. Malar J. 2019 Mar;18(1):82.

62. Perkins SL. Malaria's many mates: past, present, and future of the systematics of the order Haemosporida. J Parasitol. 2014 Feb;100(1):11–25.

63. Pérez-Tris J, Hasselquist D, Hellgren O, Krizanauskiene A, Waldenström J, Bensch S. What are malaria parasites? Trends Parasitol. 2005 May;21(5):209–11.

64. Moens MA, Pérez-Tris J. Discovering potential sources of emerging pathogens: south America is a reservoir of generalist avian blood parasites. Int J Parasitol. 2016 Jan;46(1):41–9.

65. Huang X, Ellis VA, Jönsson J, Bensch S. Generalist haemosporidian parasites are better adapted to a subset of host species in a multiple host community. Mol Ecol. 2018 Nov;27(21):4336–46.

66. Videvall E, Palinauskas V, Valkiūnas G, Hellgren O. Host transcriptional responses to high- and low-virulent avian malaria parasites. Am Nat. 2020 Jun;195(6):1070–84.

67. Liu W, Li Y, Learn GH, Rudicell RS, Robertson JD, Keele BF, et al. Origin of the human malaria parasite *Plasmodium falciparum* in gorillas. Nature. 2010 Sep;467(7314):420–5.

68. Waters AP, Higgins DG, McCutchan TF. *Plasmodium falci-*

parum appears to have arisen as a result of lateral transfer between avian and human hosts. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 1991 Apr;88(8):3140–4.

69. Cormier LA. The historical ecology of human and wild primate malarias in the new world. Diversity (Basel). 2010;2(2):256–80.

70. Aunin E, Böhme U, Sanderson T, Simons ND, Goldberg TL, Ting N, et al. Genomic and transcriptomic evidence for descent from *Plasmodium* and loss of blood schizogony in *Hepatocystis* parasites from naturally infected red colobus monkeys. PLoS Pathog. 2020 Aug;16(8):e1008717.

71. McLendon SB. Experimental attempts to infect man with avian malaria. Am J Hyg. 1943;37(1):19–20.

72. Kafsack BF, Rovira-Graells N, Clark TG, Bancells C, Crowley VM, Campino SG, et al. A transcriptional switch underlies commitment to sexual development in malaria parasites. Nature. 2014 Mar;507(7491):248–52.

73. Graumans W, Jacobs E, Bousema T, Sinnis P. When Is a *Plasmodium*-infected mosquito an infectious mosquito? Trends Parasitol. 2020 Aug;36(8):705–16.

74. Budria A, Candolin U. How does human-induced environmental change influence host-parasite interactions? Parasitology. 2014 Apr;141(4):462–74.

75. Brunner FS, Eizaguirre C. Can environmental change affect host/parasite-mediated speciation? Zoology (Jena). 2016 Aug;119(4):384–94.

76. Heitman J. Evolution of eukaryotic microbial pathogens via covert sexual reproduction. Cell Host Microbe. 2010 Jul;8(1):86–99.

77. Weedall GD, Hall N. Sexual reproduction and genetic exchange in parasitic protists. Parasitology. 2015 Feb;142(S1 Suppl 1):S120–7.

78. Lobo CA, Cursino-Santos JR, Singh M, Rodriguez M. *Babesia divergens*: a drive to survive. Pathogens. 2019 Jul;8(3):95.

79. Franken G, Richter J, Labisch A. Can we be sure that the human *Plasmodium* exoerythrocytic developmental stages occur exclusively in the liver? Parasitol Res. 2020 Feb;119(2):667–73.

80. Venugopal K, Hentzschel F, Valkiūnas G, Marti M. *Plasmodium* asexual growth and sexual development in the haematopoietic niche of the host. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2020 Mar;18(3):177–89.

81. Ivanova K, Zehtindjiev P, Mariaux J, Dimitrov D, Georgiev BB. Avian haemosporidians from rain forests in Madagascar: molecular and morphological data of the genera *Plasmodium, Haemoproteus* and *Leucocytozoon*. Infect Genet Evol. 2018 Mar;58:115–24.

82. Oude Munnink BB, Sikkema RS, Nieuwenhuijse DF, Molenaar RJ, Munger E, Molenkamp R, et al. Transmission of SARS-CoV-2 on mink farms between humans and mink and back to humans [Online ahead of print.]. Science. 2020 Nov:eabe5901. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abe5901.

83. Su XZ, Lane KD, Xia L, Sá JM, Wellems TE. *Plasmodium* genomics and genetics: new insights into malaria pathogenesis, drug resistance, epidemiology, and evolution. Clin Microbiol Rev. 2019 Jul;32(4):e00019–19.

84. Seeber F, Aliverti A, Zanetti G. The plant-type ferredoxin-NADP$^+$ reductase/ferredoxin redox system as a possible drug target against apicomplexan human parasites. Curr Pharm Des. 2005;11(24):3159–72.

85. McFadden GI, Reith ME, Munholland J, Lang-Unnasch N. Plastid in human parasites. Nature. 1996 Jun;381(6582):482.

86. Ralph SA, van Dooren GG, Waller RF, Crawford MJ, Fraunholz MJ, Foth BJ, et al. Tropical infectious diseases: metabolic maps and functions of the *Plasmodium falciparum* apicoplast. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2004 Mar;2(3):203–16.

87. Boucher MJ, Yeh E. Plastid-endomembrane connections in apicomplexan parasites. PLoS Pathog. 2019 Jun;15(6):e1007661.

88. Roos DS, Crawford MJ, Donald RG, Fraunholz M, Harb OS, He CY, et al. Mining the *Plasmodium* genome database to define organellar function: what does the apicoplast do? Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci. 2002 Jan;357(1417):35–46.

89. Lasonder E, Rijpma SR, van Schaijk BC, Hoeijmakers WA, Kensche PR, Gresnigt MS, et al. Integrated transcriptomic and proteomic analyses of *P. falciparum* gametocytes: molecular insight into sex-specific processes and translational repression. Nucleic Acids Res. 2016 Jul;44(13):6087–101.

90. Tang Y, Meister TR, Walczak M, Pulkoski-Gross MJ, Hari SB, Sauer RT, et al. A mutagenesis screen for essential plastid biogenesis genes in human malaria parasites. PLoS Biol. 2019 Feb;17(2):e3000136.

91. Cortleven A, Marg I, Yamburenko MV, Schlicke H, Hill K, Grimm B, et al. Cytokinin regulates the etioplast-chloroplast transition through the two-component signaling system and activation of chloroplast-related genes. Plant Physiol. 2016 Sep;172(1):464–78.

92. Han T, Sinha RP, Häder DP. UV-A/blue light-induced reactivation of photosynthesis in UV-B irradiated cyanobacterium, *Anabaena* sp. J Plant Physiol. 2001;158(11):1403–13.

93. Kwong WK, Del Campo J, Mathur V, Vermeij MJ, Keeling PJ. A widespread coral-infecting apicomplexan with chlorophyll biosynthesis genes. Nature. 2019 Apr;568(7750):103–7.

94. Miller KR, Cushman RA. A chloroplast membrane lacking photosystem II. Thylakoid stacking in the absence of the photosystem II particle. BBA - Bioenerg. 1979;546(3):481–97.

95. Johnson MP, Ruban AV. Arabidopsis plants lacking PsbS protein possess photoprotective energy dissipation. Plant J. 2010 Jan;61(2):283–9.

96. Zervas A, Petersen G, Seberg O. Mitochondrial genome evolution in parasitic plants. BMC Evol Biol. 2019 Apr;19(1):87.

97. Sá JM, Kaslow SR, Moraes Barros RR, Brazeau NF, Parobek CM, Tao D, et al. *Plasmodium vivax* chloroquine resistance links to pvcrt transcription in a genetic cross. Nat Commun. 2019 Sep;10(1):4300.

98. Maclean AE, Hertle AP, Ligas J, Bock R, Balk J, Meyer EH. Absence of complex I is associated with diminished respiratory chain function in European mistletoe. Curr Biol. 2018 May;28(10):1614–1619.e3.

99. Senkler J, Rugen N, Eubel H, Hegermann J, Braun HP. Absence of complex I implicates rearrangement of the respiratory chain in European mistletoe. Curr Biol. 2018

May;28(10):1606–1613.e4.

100. Kennedy K, Cobbold SA, Hanssen E, Birnbaum J, Spillman NJ, McHugh E, et al. Delayed death in the malaria parasite *Plasmodium falciparum* is caused by disruption of prenylation-dependent intracellular trafficking. PLoS Biol. 2019 Jul;17(7):e3000376.

101. Markus MB. Biological concepts in recurrent *Plasmodium vivax* malaria. Parasitology. 2018 Nov;145(13):1765–71.

102. Valkiūnas G, Iezhova TA. Keys to the avian malaria parasites. Malar J. 2018 May;17(1):212.

103. Ogola EO, Chepkorir E, Sang R, Tchouassi DP. A previously unreported potential malaria vector in a dry ecology of Kenya. Parasit Vectors. 2019 Feb;12(1):80.

104. Smith MM, Van Hemert C, Handel CM. Evidence of *Culiseta* mosquitoes as vectors for *Plasmodium* parasites in Alaska. J Vector Ecol. 2019 Jun;44(1):68–75.

105. Santiago-Alarcon D, Havelka P, Schaefer HM, Segelbacher G. Bloodmeal analysis reveals avian *Plasmodium* infections and broad host preferences of *Culicoides* (Diptera: Ceratopogonidae) vectors. PLoS One. 2012;7(2):e31098.

106. Prugnolle F, Durand P, Neel C, Ollomo B, Ayala FJ, Arnathau C, et al. African great apes are natural hosts of multiple related malaria species, including *Plasmodium falciparum*. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2010 Jan;107(4):1458–63.

107. Martinelli A, Culleton R. Non-human primate malaria parasites: out of the forest and into the laboratory. Parasitology. 2018 Jan;145(1):41–54.

108. Verhulst NO, Smallegange RC, Takken W. Mosquitoes as potential bridge vectors of malaria parasites from non-human primates to humans. Front Physiol. 2012 Jun;3:197.

109. Manguin S, Bangs MJ, Pothikasikorn J, Chareonviriyaphap T. Review on global co-transmission of human *Plasmodium* species and *Wuchereria bancrofti* by *Anopheles* mosquitoes. Infect Genet Evol. 2010 Mar;10(2):159–77.

110. Taylor MJ, Hoerauf A, Bockarie M. Lymphatic filariasis and onchocerciasis. Lancet. 2010 Oct;376(9747):1175–85.

111. Ndo C, Kopya E, Donbou MA, Njiokou F, Awono-Ambene P, Wondji C. Elevated *Plasmodium infection* rates and high pyrethroid resistance in major malaria vectors in a forested area of Cameroon highlight challenges of malaria control. Parasit Vectors. 2018 Mar;11(1):157.

112. Loy DE, Liu W, Li Y, Learn GH, Plenderleith LJ, Sundararaman SA, et al. Out of Africa: origins and evolution of the human malaria parasites *Plasmodium falciparum* and *Plasmodium vivax*. Int J Parasitol. 2017 Feb;47(2-3):87–97.

113. Chin W, Contacos PG, Coatney GR, Kimball HR. A naturally acquired quotidian-type malaria in man transferable to monkeys. Science. 1965 Aug;149(3686):865.

114. Ta TH, Hisam S, Lanza M, Jiram AI, Ismail N, Rubio JM. First case of a naturally acquired human infection with *Plasmodium cynomolgi*. Malar J. 2014 Feb;13(1):68.

115. Voorberg-van der Wel AM, Zeeman AM, Nieuwenhuis IG, van der Werff NM, Klooster EJ, Klop O, et al. A dual fluorescent *Plasmodium cynomolgi* reporter line reveals in vitro malaria hypnozoite reactivation. Commun Biol. 2020 Jan;3(1):7.

116. Sutherland CJ, Tanomsing N, Nolder D, Oguike M, Jennison C, Pukrittayakamee S, et al. Two nonrecombining sympatric forms of the human malaria parasite *Plasmodium ovale* occur globally. J Infect Dis. 2010 May;201(10):1544–50.

117. Nolder D, Oguike MC, Maxwell-Scott H, Niyazi HA, Smith V, Chiodini PL, et al. An observational study of malaria in British travellers: *plasmodium ovale wallikeri* and *Plasmodium ovale curtisi* differ significantly in the duration of latency. BMJ Open. 2013 May;3(5):e002711.

118. Sutherland CJ. Persistent parasitism: the adaptive biology of malariae and ovale malaria. Trends Parasitol. 2016 Oct;32(10):808–19.

119. Schaer J, Perkins SL, Ejotre I, Vodzak ME, Matuschewski K, Reeder DM. Epauletted fruit bats display exceptionally high infections with a *Hepatocystis* species complex in South Sudan. Sci Rep. 2017 Jul;7(1):6928.

120. Deane LM, Deane MP, Ferreira Neto J. Studies on transmission of simian malaria and on a natural infection of man with *Plasmodium simium* in Brazil. Bull World Health Organ. 1966;35(5):805–8.

121. Jongwutiwes S, Putaporntip C, Iwasaki T, Sata T, Kanbara H. Naturally acquired *Plasmodium knowlesi* malaria in human, Thailand. Emerg Infect Dis. 2004 Dec;10(12):2211–3.

122. Lalremruata A, Magris M, Vivas-Martínez S, Koehler M, Esen M, Kempaiah P, et al. Natural infection of *Plasmodium brasilianum* in humans: man and monkey share quartan malaria parasites in the Venezuelan Amazon. EBioMedicine. 2015 Jul;2(9):1186–92.

123. Talundzic E, Ravishankar S, Nayak V, Patel DS, Olsen C, Sheth M, et al. First full draft genome sequence of *Plasmodium brasilianum*. Genome Announc. 2017 Feb;5(6):4–6.

124. Grigg MJ, Snounou G. *Plasmodium simium*: a Brazilian focus of anthropozoonotic vivax malaria? Lancet Glob Health. 2017 Oct;5(10):e961–2.

125. Brasil P, Zalis MG, de Pina-Costa A, Siqueira AM, Júnior CB, Silva S, et al. Outbreak of human malaria caused by *Plasmodium simium* in the Atlantic Forest in Rio de Janeiro: a molecular epidemiological investigation. Lancet Glob Health. 2017 Oct;5(10):e1038–46.

126. Zaw MT, Lin Z. Human *Plasmodium knowlesi* infections in South-East Asian countries. J Microbiol Immunol Infect. 2019 Oct;52(5):679–84.

127. Anstey NM, Grigg MJ. Zoonotic malaria: the better you look, the more you find. J Infect Dis. 2019 Feb;219(5):679–81.

128. White NJ. *Plasmodium knowlesi*: the fifth human malaria parasite. Clin Infect Dis. 2008 Jan;46(2):172–3.

129. Lee KS, Cox-Singh J, Brooke G, Matusop A, Singh B. *Plasmodium knowlesi* from archival blood films: further evidence that human infections are widely distributed and not newly emergent in Malaysian Borneo. Int J Parasitol. 2009 Aug;39(10):1125–8.

130. Imai N, White MT, Ghani AC, Drakeley CJ. Transmission and control of *Plasmodium knowlesi*: a mathematical modelling study. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2014 Jul;8(7):e2978.

131. Imwong M, Madmanee W, Suwannasin K, Kunasol C, Peto TJ, Tripura R, et al. Asymptomatic natural human infections with the simian malaria parasites *Plasmodium cynomolgi* and *Plasmodium knowlesi*. J Infect Dis. 2019

Feb;219(5):695–702.

132. De Nys HM, Löhrich T, Wu D, Calvignac-Spencer S, Leendertz FH. Wild African great apes as natural hosts of malaria parasites: current knowledge and research perspectives. Primate Biol. 2017 Mar;4(1):47–59.

133. Calderaro A, Piccolo G, Gorrini C, Rossi S, Montecchini S, Dell'Anna ML, et al. Accurate identification of the six human *Plasmodium* spp. causing imported malaria, including *Plasmodium ovale wallikeri* and *Plasmodium knowlesi*. Malar J. 2013 Sep;12(1):321.

134. Zhong D, Hemming-Schroeder E, Wang X, Kibret S, Zhou G, Atieli H, et al. Extensive new *Anopheles* cryptic species involved in human malaria transmission in western Kenya. Sci Rep. 2020 Sep;10(1):16139.

135. Dahan-Moss Y, Hendershot A, Dhoogra M, Julius H, Zawada J, Kaiser M, et al. Member species of the *Anopheles gambiae* complex can be misidentified as *Anopheles leesoni*. Malar J. 2020 Feb;19(1):89.

136. Barber BE, William T, Grigg MJ, Yeo TW, Anstey NM. Limitations of microscopy to differentiate *Plasmodium* species in a region co-endemic for *Plasmodium falciparum, Plasmodium vivax* and *Plasmodium knowlesi*. Malar J. 2013 Jan;12(1):8.

137. Collins WE, Jeffery GM. *Plasmodium malariae*: parasite and disease. Clin Microbiol Rev. 2007 Oct;20(4):579–92.

138. Mueller I, Zimmerman PA, Reeder JC. *Plasmodium malariae* and *Plasmodium ovale*—the "bashful" malaria parasites. Trends Parasitol. 2007 Jun;23(6):278–83.

139. Scully EJ, Kanjee U, Duraisingh MT. Molecular interactions governing host-specificity of blood stage malaria parasites. Curr Opin Microbiol. 2017 Dec;40:21–31.

140. Smith RC, Jacobs-Lorena M. *Plasmodium*-mosquito interactions: a tale of roadblocks and detours. Adv In Insect Phys. 2010;39:119–49.

141. Haeseleer C, Martiny D, Van Laethem Y, Cantinieaux B, Martin C. Reactivation of *Plasmodium* infection during a treatment with infliximab: A case report. Int J Infect Dis. 2020 Feb;91:101–3.

142. Verra F, Angheben A, Martello E, Giorli G, Perandin F, Bisoffi Z. A systematic review of transfusion-transmitted malaria in non-endemic areas. Malar J. 2018 Jan;17(1):36.

143. Bastaki H, Carter J, Marston L, Cassell J, Rait G. Time delays in the diagnosis and treatment of malaria in non-endemic countries: A systematic review. Travel Med Infect Dis. 2018 Jan - Feb;21:21–7.

144. Chen I, Clarke SE, Gosling R, Hamainza B, Killeen G, Magill A, et al. "Asymptomatic" malaria: a chronic and debilitating infection that should be treated. PLoS Med. 2016 Jan;13(1):e1001942.

145. Abdulkareem BO, Adam AO, Ahmed AO, Mariam AA, Samuel UU. Malaria-induced anaemia and serum micronutrients in asymptomatic *Plasmodium falciparum* infected patients. J Parasit Dis. 2017 Dec;41(4):1093–7.

146. Lubis IND, Wijaya H, Lubis M, Lubis CP, Beshir KB, Staedke SG, et al. Recurrence of *Plasmodium malariae* and *P. falciparum* following treatment of uncomplicated malaria in North Sumatera with dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine or artemether-lumefantrine. Open Forum Infect Dis. 2020;7(5):ofaa116.

147. Bichara C, Flahaut P, Costa D, Bienvenu AL, Picot S, Gargala G. Cryptic *Plasmodium ovale* concurrent with mixed *Plasmodium falciparum* and *Plasmodium malariae* infection in two children from Central African Republic. Malar J. 2017 Aug;16(1):339.

148. Mayxay M, Pukrittayakamee S, Newton PN, White NJ. Mixed-species malaria infections in humans. Trends Parasitol. 2004 May;20(5):233–40.

149. Vinetz JM, Li J, McCutchan TF, Kaslow DC. *Plasmodium malariae* infection in an asymptomatic 74-year-old Greek woman with splenomegaly. N Engl J Med. 1998 Feb;338(6):367–71.

150. Velasco E, Gomez-Barroso D, Varela C, Diaz O, Cano R. Non-imported malaria in non-endemic countries: a review of cases in Spain. Malar J. 2017 Jun;16(1):260.

151. Bisoffi Z, Leoni S, Angheben A, Beltrame A, Eseme FE, Gobbi F, et al. Chronic malaria and hyper-reactive malarial splenomegaly: a retrospective study on the largest series observed in a non-endemic country. Malar J. 2016 Apr;15(1):230.

152. Zoller T, Naucke TJ, May J, Hoffmeister B, Flick H, Williams CJ, et al. Malaria transmission in non-endemic areas: case report, review of the literature and implications for public health management. Malar J. 2009 Apr;8(1):71.