

Strong Carers, Strong Communities

A cluster randomised controlled trial to improve wellbeing of family carers of older people in remote Aboriginal communities

LoGiudice, Dina; Josif, Cathryn; Malay, Roslyn; Hyde, Zoë; Haswell, Melissa R.; Lindeman, Melissa; Etherton-Beer, Christopher; Atkinson, David; Bessarab, Dawn; Flicker, Leon; Smith, Kate

Published in:
Rural and Remote Health

DOI:
[10.22605/RRH6078](https://doi.org/10.22605/RRH6078)

Published: 01/07/2021

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link to publication](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

LoGiudice, D., Josif, C., Malay, R., Hyde, Z., Haswell, M. R., Lindeman, M., Etherton-Beer, C., Atkinson, D., Bessarab, D., Flicker, L., & Smith, K. (2021). Strong Carers, Strong Communities: A cluster randomised controlled trial to improve wellbeing of family carers of older people in remote Aboriginal communities. *Rural and Remote Health*, 21(3), 1-6. <https://doi.org/10.22605/RRH6078>

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

SHORT COMMUNICATION

Strong Carers, Strong Communities: a cluster randomised controlled trial to improve wellbeing of family carers of older people in remote Aboriginal communities

AUTHORS



Dina LoGiudice¹, Associate Professor *, dina.logiudice@mh.org.au



Cathryn Josif², Assistant Dean



Roslyn Malay³, Researcher



Zoë Hyde⁴, Researcher



Melissa R Haswell⁵, Researcher, melissa.haswell@sydney.edu.au



Melissa Lindeman⁶, Associate Professor



Christopher Etherton-Beer⁷, Professor



David Atkinson⁸, Professor, david.atkinson@rcswa.edu.au



Dawn Bessarab⁹, Professor



Leon Flicker¹⁰, Director, leon.flicker@uwa.edu.au



Kate Smith¹¹, Lecturer, Researcher, kate.smith@uwa.edu.au

CORRESPONDENCE

*A/Prof Dina LoGiudice dina.logiudice@mh.org.au

AFFILIATIONS

¹ Aged Care, Melbourne Health, Melbourne, Australia, and University Of Melbourne, Parkville, Melbourne, Australia

² WA Centre for Health and Ageing, Medical School, University of Western Australia, Perth, Australia; and Majorlin Kimberley Centre for Remote Health, The University of Notre Dame, Broome, Australia

^{3, 4, 7, 10} WA Centre for Health and Ageing, Medical School, University of Western Australia, Perth, Australia

⁵ School of Public Health and Social Work, Queensland University of Technology, Kelvin Grove, Queensland, Australia. Present address: Office of the Deputy Vice Chancellor (Indigenous Strategy and Services), University of Sydney, Camperdown, NSW 2050, Australia

⁶ College of Nursing & Midwifery, Charles Darwin University, Alice Springs, NT 0870, Australia; and College of Medicine & Public Health (adjunct), Flinders University, Alice Springs, NT 0870, Australia

⁸ Rural Clinical School of WA, University of Western Australia and University of Notre Dame, Australia

^{9, 11} Centre for Aboriginal Medical and Dental Health, University of Western Australia, Perth, Australia

PUBLISHED

14 July 2021 Volume 21 Issue 3

HISTORY

RECEIVED: 17 May 2020

REVISED: 4 May 2021

ACCEPTED: 20 May 2021

CITATION

LoGiudice D, Josif C, Malay R, Hyde Z, Haswell MR, Lindeman M, Etherton-Beer C, Atkinson D, Bessarab D, Flicker L, Smith K. Strong Carers, Strong Communities: a cluster randomised controlled trial to improve wellbeing of family carers of older people in remote Aboriginal communities. *Rural and Remote Health* 2021; 21: 6078. <https://doi.org/10.22605/RRH6078>

This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International Licence](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

ABSTRACT:

Introduction: Unpaid carers have a crucial role in supporting older people with cognitive impairment and disability, but their own health and wellbeing are often impacted. There are limited data on how carer strain, depression and empowerment may be improved for carers.

Methods: This was a cluster randomised controlled trial to compare the effect of a carer support program developed with a community-based participatory action research (PAR) approach to the delivery of information sessions to 100 carers of people aged 45 years or more living in four remote Aboriginal communities in Western Australia.

Results: The mean age of carers was 38.3±14.9 years, 76% were female and 77% were children or grandchildren of the care

recipient. Carer strain and empowerment measures did not change significantly between baseline and follow-up. A statistically significant decrease in depression scores was observed in the PAR group. However, decreases were observed in both the PAR and control groups, and the change in scores did not differ significantly between groups. Depression scores decreased most in those who had not attended high school. Overall, the proportion of participants meeting criteria for depression decreased from 18.8% at baseline to 8.3% at follow-up.

Conclusion: A carer support program was of equivocal benefit, although this research demonstrates that the wellbeing of carers in remote Aboriginal communities can potentially be markedly improved by outreach strategies.

Keywords:

Aboriginal, ageing, Australia, carer, community based participatory action research, depression, Indigenous.

FULL ARTICLE:

Introduction

Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people are living to older ages¹. Unpaid carers, family and community members have a crucial role in supporting older people with cognitive and physical disabilities, often impacting their own health and wellbeing^{2,3}. In remote and rural areas, factors such as younger age of carers, lack of appropriate services, many competing demands, and traditional and cultural factors, can lead to augmented feelings of carer strain and sense of burden^{4,5}.

This article reports the effect of a carer support program on carers' sense of empowerment, carer strain and depression levels as part of a cluster randomised controlled trial conducted in four communities of the Kimberley region of Australia. Carer support programs were implemented utilising a participatory action research (PAR) framework in two communities and compared with delivery of six information sessions in each of two control communities.

We hypothesised that carers receiving the PAR program would

have improved wellbeing compared with controls.

Methods

Setting and participants

The baseline phase of this cluster randomised controlled trial was conducted in four communities between 2014 and 2016 in the remote Kimberley region of Western Australia. This research built on a previous cohort study undertaken by the authors, the Kimberley Healthy Adults Project (KHAP), which documented the health status of Aboriginal Australians aged 45 years or more⁶. Carers were identified from KHAP participants and from lists provided by community-based aged care programs and local health clinics. In addition, a snowballing process was utilised, asking community members 'Who else looks after this person?' until a representative cohort of carers was identified. Complete details of the methodology along with participants' baseline characteristics have been published elsewhere⁷.

Study design

Communities were randomised by an independent statistician, using computer-generated codes, into implementation and control groups. The implementation communities (communities 1 and 2) participated in a PAR program where researchers worked with community stakeholders and carers as partners and coresearchers in a cyclical pattern to develop community-designed actions to address the needs of caregivers of older people living in the community. The control communities (communities 3 and 4) received 1-hour education and information sessions monthly for 6 months, which included information on dementia, depression and ways to support caregivers.

Measures

Data collected at baseline and on completion of cycles (12–18 months) included demographic details of carer and care recipient; carer strain, a six-item questionnaire adapted from the Zarit Burden Interview (Zarit-6), with a possible total score of 30⁸; the Kimberley Indigenous Depression Scale (KICA-Dep), with a possible total score of 33⁶; and the Growth and Empowerment Measure (GEM), which measures dimensions of empowerment important to Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Australians⁹. The GEM comprises a 14-item Emotional Empowerment Scale (EES-14), with a mean total score of 1–5, and 12 empowerment scenarios (12S). This study used the EES-14 and a short form of the 12S derived from confirmatory factor analysis containing six core scenarios (Core6), with a mean total score of 1–7¹⁰. Participants with KICA-Dep scores of 8 or more were considered to have clinically relevant depressive symptoms, and participants to whom this applied or indicated potential self-harm were referred to local mental health services by research assistants. Qualitative data were obtained by mixed methodology as part of the PAR process.

Ethics approval

Approval to conduct this study was obtained from the communities involved, the Kimberley Aboriginal Medical Services Council, Kimberley Aboriginal Health Planning Forum Research

Subcommittee, the Human Research Ethics Committee of the University of Western Australia (RA/4/1/5894) and the Western Australian Aboriginal Health Ethics Committee (281-04/10). All participants provided written informed consent. The partnership between the university and the communities was formally established after community consent was given by the two participating communities' councils. This trial was prospectively registered with the Australian Clinical Trials Registry (ACTRN12614000415606).

Statistical analysis

Data were analysed with Stata SE v15.1 (StataCorp; <http://www.stata.com>). Of 140 participants, 16 who were caring for people aged less than 45 years were excluded. A further 24 people who did not attend follow-up were excluded, leaving 100 people for analysis ($n=55$ for the PAR group, $n=45$ for the control group). Cluster-adjusted t -tests and χ^2 tests and adjusted Wald tests were used to investigate associations between variables¹¹. Linear regression adjusted for clustering was used to investigate associations between factors measured at baseline and change in outcome measures. For each outcome, all variables that were significant in univariate analyses were entered into a multivariable model and then non-significant covariates removed in a manual, backwards manner. Unstandardised regression coefficients are reported. One participant was excluded from Core6 analyses due to missing data, while four were excluded from KICA-Dep analyses. Values of p less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results

Characteristics of carers

The mean age of the 100 carers was 38.3 ± 14.9 years at baseline (range 18–88 years), and 80% were aged less than 50 years. Most carers (76%) were female, and 42% were children and 35% grandchildren of the care recipient. The majority (94%) reported some formal education, although only 24% completed secondary schooling. However, 51% had undertaken further study. Six people (6%) had been carers for less than 1 year, while the remainder had been carers for a mean of 8.4 ± 8.5 years (range 1–54 years).

Characteristics of care recipients

The majority (77%) of care recipients were female, and 82% were aged 60 years or more. The leading health problems were chronic illness (59%), dementia/cognitive impairment (37%), frailty (31%) and physical disability (30%). The number of activities of daily living that carers were helping with increased from a mean of 8.0 ± 1.7 at baseline to 9.1 ± 1.4 at follow-up ($p=0.064$), and the mean number of services used by the care recipient increased from 6.7 ± 2.2 to 7.2 ± 2.2 ($p=0.104$). There was no significant difference between groups.

Outcome measures

There was no significant difference in carer strain, EES-14, or Core6 scores between baseline and follow-up in either group (Fig1). However, as shown in Table 1, there was a significant decrease in the mean KICA-Dep score, from 4.8 ± 3.3 to 3.4 ± 2.7 in the PAR

group ($p=0.012$). A non-significant decrease from 4.4 ± 4.0 to 3.6 ± 4.9 occurred in controls. Results were similar when KICA-Dep scores were analysed as a categorical variable. The proportion of participants who met criteria for depression decreased from 18.9% to 5.7% in the PAR group ($p=0.653$), and from 18.6% to 11.6% in

controls ($p=0.191$). There was no statistically significant difference between groups. Overall, the proportion of participants meeting criteria for depression decreased from 18.8% ($n=18$) at baseline to 8.3% ($n=8$) at follow-up.

Table 1: Carer strain, empowerment (GEM) and depression (KICA-Dep) measures by group, at baseline and follow-up


Scale	PAR group				Control group				Between group difference
	Baseline (mean±SD or n (%))	Follow-up (mean±SD or n (%))	Change (mean±SD)	p-value	Baseline (mean±SD or n (%))	Follow-up (mean±SD or n (%))	Change (mean±SD)	p-value	p-value
Carer strain [†]	4.5±3.3	4.4±3.8	-0.1±4.8	0.927	2.9±3.9	3.5±4.1	0.6±3.9	0.312	0.643
EES-14 [‡]	4.2±0.6	4.3±0.5	0.1±0.6	0.561	4.3±0.5	4.3±0.6	0.0±0.5	0.568	0.574
Core6 [†] (1) [§]	4.8±1.3	5.0±1.2	0.2±1.4	0.321	5.3±1.2	5.1±1.4	-0.2±1.2	0.176	0.256
KICA-Dep [†] (4) [§]	4.8±3.3	3.4±2.7	-1.4±3.3	0.012	4.4±4.0	3.6±4.9	-0.8±5.8	0.064	0.595
KICA-Dep ≥8 [†] (4) [§]	10 (18.9)	3 (5.7)	-	0.653	8 (18.6)	5 (11.6)	-	0.191	0.738

[†] Higher scores indicate greater carer strain/greater depressive symptoms.

[‡] Higher scores indicate greater empowerment.

[§] Denotes number of people with missing data at either baseline or follow-up (only those with data at both time points are shown).

Core6, Core6 Scenario Subset. EES, Emotional Empowerment Scale. GEM, Growth and Empowerment Measure. KICA-Dep, Kimberley Indigenous Cognitive Assessment Depression scale. PAR, participatory action research. SD, standard deviation.



[†] Higher carer strain scores and higher KICA-Dep scores indicate greater carer strain and greater depressive symptoms, respectively. Higher EES-14 and Core6 scores indicate greater empowerment. Core6, Core6 Scenario Subset. CI, confidence interval. EES, Emotional Empowerment Scale. GEM, Growth and Empowerment Measure. KICA-Dep, Kimberley Indigenous Cognitive Assessment Depression scale. PAR, participatory action research.

Figure 1: Carer strain, empowerment (GEM) and depression (KICA-Dep) measures by group, at baseline and follow-up.[†]

Regression analyses

Factors associated with change in KICA-Dep score were being in the PAR group ($B=-0.58$, 95% confidence interval (CI) -0.81 – -0.36 ; $p=0.004$), not attending high school ($B=-1.98$, 95%CI -3.69 – -0.27 , $p=0.034$), number of services used ($B=0.34$, 95%CI 0.20 – 0.48 , $p=0.004$), age of the care recipient ($B=1.08$, 95%CI -2.71 – 4.87 for those aged 45–59 years; $B=-2.35$; 95%CI -3.67 – -1.03 for those aged 60–74 years; reference category ≥ 75 years; $p=0.023$), and years in the caring role ($B=-2.35$, 95%CI -10.16 – 5.45 for those caring for <1 year; $B=-0.26$, 95%CI -2.01 – 1.49 for those caring for

6–10 years; $B=0.33$, 95%CI -2.11 – 2.77 for those caring for >10 years; reference category 1–5 years, $p<0.001$).

However, after adjustment, not attending high school ($B=-1.98$, 95%CI -3.69 – 0.27 , $p=0.034$) was the only factor associated with change in KICA-Dep score. That is, depression scores decreased most in those who did not attend high school (mean decrease -2.8 ± 4.1 in those who did not attend high school versus -0.8 ± 4.6 in those who did).

Discussion

To our knowledge, this is the first project to address the needs of carers of older people with cognitive impairment and disabilities in remote Aboriginal communities. A significant decrease in depression scores was observed in the PAR group, although the change in score was not significantly different to that occurring in the control group. It is possible that the education sessions received by carers in the control group may also have had a beneficial effect, and thus not served as a true control. Nonetheless, this study provides evidence for potential effectiveness of a PAR program.

The PAR program provided carer support in the form of regular meetings and outlets for expression of their concerns that potentially led to improved wellbeing, as illustrated by comments from one community worker: 'I got respect ... understanding how to be a better caregiver ... gave me knowledge'. In addition, all carers in the community were screened for mental health problems by the research team and referred to local mental health workers where appropriate. Details of mental health support received were not documented as part of this study, nor whether other factors apart from carer duties may have contributed. It is interesting that those with lower education experienced the greatest decrease in depression scores, a finding that varies in the literature. A possibility is that being a carer gives meaning to a person who may have less opportunities for employment, including receiving the carers' allowance. Those who were better educated may have felt restricted by their carer role, limiting further opportunities¹².

No change in sense of empowerment or carer strain was observed. As noted in previous work describing baseline characteristics of participants⁷, reported carer strain was generally low compared to

other studies. This may be due to different cultural notions of caring (accepting their role as part of extended family), social desirability bias, or a measurement tool that might not capture the nuances of the caring role in an Indigenous context. In view of a low starting point, change over time would be difficult to discern.

Limitations of the study include limited statistical power to detect a change in outcomes. In addition, PAR processes are time consuming and resource intensive within limited research budgets and time constraints. However, engagement with carer advocacy groups led to an ongoing presence in this remote region, and potentially contributed to a framework for culturally responsive carers programs¹³. In addition, the recruitment model for carers with mental health problems was changed in one of the communities that received the PAR program, as a result of the high prevalence of depression observed during the research (M Chapman, personal communication, 2016).

Conclusion

This study demonstrates that a PAR program for carers of older Indigenous Australians is feasible and can potentially improve carer mental wellbeing, particularly depression.

Acknowledgements

We would like to acknowledge the carers, research assistants and services, including health and community aged care services, who participated in this project in the communities of Ardyaloon, Looma, Warmun and Wirrimanu. In addition, we acknowledge the assistance of Mark Pindan and Myra Pindan, who were community champions for the project in Looma.

REFERENCES:

- 1 Biddle N. CAEPR Indigenous Population Project 2011 Census Papers. No.14/2013. Canberra: Australian National University: Centre for Aboriginal Economic Policy Research, 2013.
- 2 Lambert SD, Bowe SJ, Livingston PM, Heckel L, Cook S, Kowal P, et al. Impact of informal caregiving on older adults' physical and mental health in low-income and middle-income countries: a cross-sectional, secondary analysis based on the WHO's Study on global AGEing and adult health (SAGE). *BMJ Open* 2017; **7(11)**: e017236. DOI link, PMID:29146639
- 3 Vaingankar JA, Chong SA, Abdin E, Picco L, Shafie S, Seow E, et al. Psychiatric morbidity and its correlates among informal caregivers of older adults. *Comprehensive Psychiatry* 2016; **68**: 178-185. DOI link, PMID:27234200
- 4 Smith K, Flicker L, Shadforth G, Carroll E, Ralph N, Atkinson D, et al. 'Gotta be sit down and worked out together': views of Aboriginal caregivers and service providers on ways to improve dementia care for Aboriginal Australians. *Rural and Remote Health* 2011; **11(2)**: 1650. DOI link
- 5 Edwards B, Gray M, Baxter J, Hunter B. The tyranny of distance? Carers in regional and remote areas of Australia. Deakin, ACT: Carers Australia, 2009.
- 6 Almeida OP, Flicker L, Fenner S, Smith K, Hyde Z, Atkinson D, et al. The Kimberley assessment of depression of older Indigenous Australians: prevalence of depressive disorders, risk factors and validation of the KICA-Dep scale. *PLoS One* 2014; **9**: e94983. DOI link, PMID:24740098
- 7 LoGiudice D, Josif CM, Malay R, Hyde Z, Haswell M, Lindeman MA, et al. The well-being of carers of older aboriginal people living in the Kimberley Region of remote Western Australia: empowerment, depression, and carer burden. *Journal of Applied Gerontology* 2020; **40(7)**: 10 January. DOI link, PMID:31920136
- 8 Bédard M, Molloy DW, Squire L, Dubois S, Lever JA, O'Donnell M. The Zarit Burden Interview. *The Gerontologist* 2001; **41(5)**: 652-657. DOI link, PMID:11574710
- 9 Haswell MR, Kavanagh D, Tsey K, Reilly L, Cadet-James Y, Laliberte A, et al. Psychometric validation of the Growth and Empowerment Measure (GEM) applied with Indigenous Australians. *The Australian and New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry* 2010; **44(9)**: 791-799. DOI link, PMID:20815665
- 10 Fitzpatrick SA, Haswell MR, Williams MM, Nathan S, Meyer L, Ritchie JE, et al. Learning about Aboriginal health and wellbeing at the postgraduate level: novel application of the Growth and Empowerment Measure. *Rural and Remote Health* 2019; **19(2)**:

4708. DOI link, PMid:30987426

11 Donner A, Klar N. Design and analysis of cluster randomization trials in health research. London: Arnold, 2000. DOI link

12 Oedekoven M, Amin-Kotb K, Gellert P, Balke K, Kuhlmeier A,

Schnitzer S. Associations between informal caregivers' burden and educational level. *The Journal of Gerontopsychology and Geriatric Psychiatry* 2019; **32(1)**: 19-29. DOI link

13 Alzheimer's WA. *A pilot program at Bidyadanga*. Available: web link (Accessed 27 April 2021).

This PDF has been produced for your convenience. Always refer to the live site <https://www.rrh.org.au/journal/article/6078> for the Version of Record.