
Charles Darwin University

Impact of the New Cooperative Medical Scheme on the trend of catastrophic health expenditure in Chinese rural households

Results from nationally representative surveys from 2003 to 2013

Wang, Zhiqiang; Peng, Yang

Published in:
BMJ Open

DOI:
[10.1136/bmjopen-2017-019442](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2017-019442)

Published: 01/02/2018

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link to publication](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Wang, Z., & Peng, Y. (2018). Impact of the New Cooperative Medical Scheme on the trend of catastrophic health expenditure in Chinese rural households: Results from nationally representative surveys from 2003 to 2013. *BMJ Open*, 8(2), 1-9. [e019442]. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2017-019442>

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

BMJ Open Impact of the New Cooperative Medical Scheme on the trend of catastrophic health expenditure in Chinese rural households: results from nationally representative surveys from 2003 to 2013

Biao Xie,¹ Minghe Huo,² Zhiqiang Wang,³ Yongjie Chen,¹ Rong Fu,⁴ Meina Liu,¹ Qun Meng²

To cite: Xie B, Huo M, Wang Z, *et al.* Impact of the New Cooperative Medical Scheme on the trend of catastrophic health expenditure in Chinese rural households: results from nationally representative surveys from 2003 to 2013. *BMJ Open* 2018;**8**:e019442. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2017-019442

► Prepublication history and additional material for this paper are available online. To view these files, please visit the journal online (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2017-019442>).

Received 1 September 2017
Revised 19 December 2017
Accepted 20 December 2017



¹Department of Biostatistics, Public Health College, Harbin Medical University, Harbin, China

²Department of Health Management, Public Health College, Harbin Medical University, Harbin, China

³School of Medicine, Royal Brisbane and Women's Hospital, University of Queensland, Herston, Queensland, Australia

⁴Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Public Health College, Fujian Medical University, Fuzhou, China

Correspondence to
Professor Meina Liu;
liumeina369@163.com

ABSTRACT

Objective To evaluate the trend of catastrophic health expenses (CHE) for inpatient care in relation to the commencement of the New Cooperative Medical Scheme (NCMS) in rural China from 2003 to 2013, and the roles of NCMS in protecting affected households from CHE.

Methods We assessed the 10-year trend of the incidence and severity of CHE in rural households with hospitalised members using data from the Chinese National Health Services Survey. Generalised estimating equations were used to estimate the OR and 95% CI of the association between incidence rates of CHE (R_{CHE}) and NCMS reimbursement.

Results The incidence and severity of CHE after NCMS reimbursement both decreased and their changes increased rapidly from 2003 to 2013. After adjustment of the covariates, R_{CHE} before reimbursement was significantly higher than that after reimbursement, and the OR (95% CI) was 1.50 (1.24 to 1.81), 1.79 (1.69 to 1.90) and 2.94 (2.77 to 3.11) in 2003, 2008 and 2013, respectively.

Conclusion The incidence and severity of CHE both reduced after NCMS reimbursements in each year. Excluding some confounding factors, R_{CHE} was significantly associated with NCMS reimbursement. NCMS partly protected the rural households with hospitalised members from CHE. However, the inequalities between different income groups still existed. R_{CHE} in rural households with hospitalised members was still rather high in 2003, 2008 and 2013 even though they were covered by NCMS. This study will provide suggestions for further reforms in China and guidance for other low-income/middle-income countries.

INTRODUCTION

The New Cooperative Medical Scheme (NCMS) was introduced to rural China in 2003. It was designed to provide financial protection for its enrollees. In terms of the enrolment size, NCMS is by far the largest health insurance plan in the world.¹ Catastrophic health expense (CHE)

Strengths and limitations of this study

- Generalised estimating equations were used to estimate the OR and 95% CI of the association between the catastrophe's incidence and the New Cooperative Medical Scheme reimbursement.
- We assessed the 10-year trend of the incidence and severity of catastrophic health expenses at the national level using data from the Chinese National Health Services Survey.
- Three indicators were used to capture the catastrophe's incidence and intensity.
- The sample size in 2003 was small with only 180 households.

is defined as an out-of-pocket health expenditure that is larger than 40% of the household's capacity to pay (CTP).² CHE is an indicator reflecting the effectiveness of financial protection a health insurance could provide for its members. In 2008, 15.1% of the rural households and 35.0% of the rural households with hospitalised members faced CHE in China.^{3 4} It was essential to evaluate the role of NCMS in preventing CHE among its members. There had been many studies measuring the impact of NCMS. However, most studies focused on a specific local area or the short-term effect of NCMS on CHE in China.³⁻¹⁰ NCMS focused on inpatient care reimbursement. Among studies on the impact of NCMS on CHE, those focusing on affected rural households with hospitalised members were valuable. However, few previous studies had focused on such affected rural households.

We assessed the 10-year trend of the incidence and severity of CHE in rural households with hospitalised members at the national level using data from the Chinese National

Health Services Survey (NHSS). The trend of CHE for inpatient care in relation to the commencement of NCMS in rural China from 2003 to 2013 and the roles of NCMS in protecting affected households from CHE can provide evidence for NCMS in improving financial protection for Chinese residents. As an exploratory attempt to study the impact of NCMS on the CHE of rural households with hospitalised members, this study can provide some recommendations on the next phase of health reform for policy-makers.

METHODS

Data source and study population

Data used in this study were derived from the Chinese third NHSS in 2003, the fourth NHSS in 2008 and the fifth NHSS in 2013. As the largest state-wide health survey in China, the NHSS has been organised by the Chinese government every 5 years since 1993. All data in the NHSS were collected using a structured questionnaire, the validity and reliability of which had been demonstrated.^{11 12} The NHSS was done with a robust multi-stage and stratified random cluster sampling method.¹³ A total of 94 counties were selected from 2859 counties in 31 provinces, autonomous regions and municipalities in China. In each county, five townships were selected within which two villages were selected. A total of 470 townships and 940 villages were included. In each village, 60 households were selected.¹⁴ A district survey manager checked the questionnaires at the end of each day to avoid missing information or logic errors. Of the sampled households, 5% was randomly selected to be revisited to examine survey quality (95% was achieved).¹³ According to a test conducted by the Health Statistical Center of the Ministry of Health of China, the survey data were representative of the structure of the overall national population compared with the 2007 National Sampling Survey of Population Change.¹³

This study focused on the incidence and severity of CHE of rural households with hospitalised members covered by NCMS. Households that did not join NCMS or did not use inpatient services were not covered. This yielded a final sample of 6975 households, which experienced inpatient care during the study period (180 in 2003, 2326 in 2008 and 4469 in 2013).

The introduction of indicators

Out-of-pocket health expenditure payment (OOP) refers to the expenditure made by each household member after they receive health services without compensations from a third party. The poverty line is the average food expenditure of households of which food share is in the 45th–55th percentile range. The detailed definition of poverty line used in the study is shown in the online supplementary materials. This poverty line multiplied by the equalised household size (actual household size: 0.56) is household subsistence spending. CTP is generally defined as a non-subsistence spending. However, when food

expenditure is lower than subsistence spending in some households, the non-food expenditure is used as non-subsistence spending in this particular situation.¹⁴ The questions contained on the NHSS questionnaire employed to calculate the indicators below are shown in the online supplementary materials.

Incidence rates of CHE

There were various definitions of CHE, and in this study we employed the method recommended by the WHO for calculating CHE. An OOP is considered financially catastrophic when it is larger than 40% of the household's CTP.¹ Let R_{CHE} denote the incidence rates of CHE, which can be calculated as:

$$R_{CHE} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N E_i \quad (1)$$

where N represents the sample size. $E_i = 1$ when $\frac{OOP}{CTP} \geq 0.4$; $E_i = 0$ when $\frac{OOP}{CTP} < 0.4$.

Definitions and calculations of mean CHE gap (G_{CHE}) and mean positive CHE gap (MPG_{CHE})

The G_{CHE} describes how much of a household's health expenditure is in excess of the threshold of 40% of its CTP, which is estimated to reveal the average level of CHE severity for all studied households. The MPG_{CHE} refers to the average of the sum of the total excesses from all the catastrophic households in the sample.¹ G_{CHE} and MPG_{CHE} can be calculated as:

$$G_{CHE} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N O_i \quad (2)$$

$$MPG_{CHE} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N O_i}{\sum_{i=1}^N E_i} \quad (3)$$

where $O_i = \frac{OOP}{CTP} - 0.4$ when $\frac{OOP}{CTP} > 0.4$; $O_i = 0$ when $\frac{OOP}{CTP} \leq 0.4$.

The introduction of GEE

The generalised estimating equation (GEE) is based on the quasi-likelihood function and generalised linear model. It can be used to resolve repeated-measures issues.^{15–17} GEE has the following advantages:

1. More robust modelling: When connectivity function of GEE is correct, we can get stable parameter estimates even if the correlation matrix is chosen randomly.
2. Flexibility: The dependent variable of GEE can follow any kind of exponential distribution. Various covariance structures can also be chosen.^{15–17}

In this study, the R_{CHE} of each household had two records: one before NCMS reimbursement and one after. We took it as a repeated-measures analysis. The dependent and independent variables are the prevalence status of CHE and reimbursement status of NCMS, respectively. We performed GEE with logit link to estimate the OR and

95% CI of the association between the R_{CHE} and NCMS reimbursement.

Statistical analysis

Categorical variables and expenditure measures were described by numbers (percentages) and means (SD), respectively. The annual household income in 2008 and 2013 was transformed by consumer price index (CPI) to the price level in 2003 with the following transformation formula: $\text{real price} = \text{nominal price} \times (\text{CPI of base year} / \text{CPI of object year})$.¹⁸ The annual household income was classified as quartiles. Three indicators above captured the catastrophe's incidence and intensity. We used stratification analysis to assess the 10-year trend of these indicators before and after NCMS reimbursement. The impact of NCMS in each year was reflected in the difference in CHE before and after reimbursement. The trend of difference from 2003 to 2013 reflected the overall change of the impact of NCMS. Data were disaggregated by three geographical regions (east, central and west) and four household income levels. The R_{CHE} of each household had two records in each year: one before NCMS reimbursement and one after. We took it as a repeated-measures analysis. GEE was used to estimate the OR and 95% CI of the association between the R_{CHE} and NCMS reimbursement. Covariates including age, gender, education level, employment and marital status of the household head, household size, one or more members younger than 5 years, and one or more members older than 60 years were adjusted. All of the analyses were performed using SAS V.9.2. A two-sided $P < 0.05$ was established as the level of statistical significance for all tests.

RESULTS

Characteristics of households

The characteristics of all households enrolled in the study in 2003, 2008 and 2013 are shown in table 1. The age of householders increased from 2003 to 2013; 49.38% of the householders were of age 60 or older in 2013. The proportion of households with one or more members younger than 5 years decreased from 17.78% in 2003 to 14.84% in 2013. The proportion of households with people of age 60 or older showed a 12.96% increase from 2003 to 2013. The proportion of householders with a junior high school or higher degree increased from 31.67% in 2003 to 40.17% in 2013. The annual household income of each year was divided into quartiles, with each quartile cut-offs shifting upwards from 2003 to 2013.

Incidence rates of CHE

Table 2 shows the R_{CHE} among all the studied households. After NCMS reimbursement, the total R_{CHE} decreased rapidly, from 49.44% in 2003 to 34.88% in 2013. The change of the total R_{CHE} before and after reimbursement increased rapidly, from 9.45% in 2003 to 24.10% in 2013. A similar pattern was observed in different regions and different income levels. In addition, the poorest had the

highest incidence, and the change in the west region was bigger than those in other regions.

Severity of CHE

The severity of CHE among all the studied households is shown in table 3. After NCMS reimbursement, the total G_{CHE} exhibited a decreased trend, from 12.57% in 2003 to 8.15% in 2013. The change of the total G_{CHE} before and after reimbursement increased rapidly from 8.94% in 2003 to 33.50% in 2013. Different regions and different income levels had similar patterns. In addition, the highest G_{CHE} was observed in the poorest areas. A similar trend could be observed in MPG_{CHE} . The change of MPG_{CHE} was higher than that of G_{CHE} in each year.

Influence of NCMS on the incidence rates of CHE

Table 4 shows the influence of NCMS on R_{CHE} . In the aggregate, the R_{CHE} before NCMS reimbursement was significantly higher than that after NCMS reimbursement, and the OR (95% CI) was 1.46 (1.23 to 1.74), 1.70 (1.61 to 1.80) and 2.68 (2.54 to 2.83) in 2003, 2008 and 2013, respectively. The OR changed rapidly. There was a 16.44% increase in 2008 compared with 2003, and a 57.65% increase in 2013 compared with 2008. After adjustment of the covariates, the OR (95% CI) was 1.50 (1.24 to 1.81), 1.79 (1.69 to 1.90) and 2.94 (2.77 to 3.11) in 2003, 2008 and 2013, respectively. There was a 19.33% increase in 2008 compared with 2003, and a 64.25% increase in 2013 compared with 2008. A similar pattern was observed in different regions and at different income levels, but there were several exceptions in the central region and the lowest income groups in 2003, where the R_{CHE} before NCMS reimbursement was not significantly higher than that after NCMS reimbursements. Among these covariates, the protection factors of the CHE were male gender of the householder, higher level of education of the householder and bigger household size. The risk factors of the CHE were having one or more members older than 60 and the older age of the householder (online supplementary materials).

DISCUSSION

According to the Chinese NHSS in 1998 and 2003, rural residents' health expenditures grew at an annual rate of 11.48%, which was four times faster than their net income.¹⁸ High healthcare expenses in the absence of financial protection forced these rural households to fall into a difficult circumstance: "It's too difficult to see a doctor, and too expensive to seek health care!"¹⁸ In 2003, 96% of rural households in China lacked medical insurance, and 38% of the sick did not seek medical attention.^{13 18} To address this issue, NCMS was introduced to Chinese rural areas in 2003. With great efforts of the government, NCMS had experienced rapid growth in coverage. By 2011, 97.5% of the rural population had been covered by NCMS in China.^{3 18 19} This had fuelled

Table 1 Characteristics of all households enrolled in the study

Characteristics	2003 (n=180)		2008 (n=2326)		2013 (n=4469)	
	n	%	n	%	n	%
Household characteristics						
Number of household members						
<5	128	71.11	1916	82.37	3971	88.86
≥5	52	28.89	410	17.63	498	11.14
One or more members older than 60 years						
No	104	57.78	1267	54.47	2003	44.82
Yes	76	42.22	1059	45.53	2466	55.18
One or more members younger than 5 years						
No	148	82.22	1948	83.75	3806	85.16
Yes	32	17.78	378	16.25	663	14.84
Time spent travelling to the nearest medical centre						
≤15 min	145	80.56	1701	73.13	3532	79.03
>15 min	35	19.44	625	26.87	937	20.97
Number of observations in each region						
East	113	62.78	626	26.91	991	22.17
Centre	14	7.78	759	32.63	1670	37.37
West	53	29.44	941	40.46	1808	40.46
Annual household income*						
Q1	2643.33	1265.69	3956.52	1680.38	4785.49	2590.40
Q2	6914.23	1353.25	9062.74	1247.41	13003.64	2841.22
Q3	13505	2716.15	14699.42	2065.84	25958.15	5174.25
Q4	29194.35	10927.31	31665.48	20295.22	61082.23	44409.99
Householders' characteristics						
Gender						
Male	131	72.78	1796	77.21	3373	75.48
Female	49	27.22	530	22.79	1096	24.52
Age						
<60	120	66.67	1442	61.99	2262	50.62
≥60	60	33.33	884	38.01	2207	49.38
Marital status						
Unmarried	4	2.22	47	2.02	72	1.61
Married	155	86.11	1953	83.96	3735	83.58
Divorced	0	0	29	1.25	612	13.69
Widow or others	21	11.67	297	12.77	50	1.12
Education						
Illiterate	52	28.89	511	21.98	811	18.15
Elementary school	71	39.44	917	39.44	1863	41.69
Junior high school	48	26.67	722	31.05	1418	31.73
Senior high school or above	9	5.00	175	7.53	377	8.44
Employment						
Employed	126	70.00	1817	78.12	3222	72.10
Retired	12	6.67	60	2.58	157	3.51
Others	42	23.33	449	19.30	1090	24.39

*Annual household income of each year was divided into four levels according to the quartile, and the mean and SD of each level were calculated.

Table 2 Incidence rates of catastrophic health expenses (CHE) among all the studied households (%)

R_{CHE}	2003			2008			2013		
	Before reimbursement	After reimbursement	Change	Before reimbursement	After reimbursement	Change	Before reimbursement	After reimbursement	Change
Total	58.89	49.44	9.45	54.90	41.75	13.15	58.98	34.88	24.10
East	58.41	50.44	7.97	58.79	46.49	12.30	63.47	39.56	23.91
Centre	85.71	85.71	0	49.54	38.47	11.07	57.13	35.51	21.62
West	52.83	37.74	15.09	56.64	41.23	15.41	58.24	31.75	26.49
Q1	71.11	71.11	0	78.65	60.67	17.98	86.25	58.75	27.50
Q2	60.47	48.84	11.63	59.32	44.30	15.02	73.61	43.13	30.48
Q3	60.87	45.65	15.22	50.93	39.22	11.71	54.79	29.16	25.63
Q4	43.48	32.61	10.87	37.23	27.88	9.35	32.86	18.39	14.47

a significantly increased consumption of health services due to previously latent unmet demand. From 2003 to 2008, the inpatient hospital admission rate for rural residents almost doubled.^{1 4 18} The R_{CHE} of rural households with hospitalised members was much higher than other rural households.⁴ It was meaningful to evaluate the effectiveness of NCMS to provide financial protection specifically for these rural households with hospitalised members.

In our study, the R_{CHE} of these households before NCMS reimbursement was 58.89%, 54.90% and 58.98% in 2003, 2008 and 2013, respectively (table 2). Approximately 60% of the households would fall into CHE and be susceptible to disease-induced poverty if they were not covered by NCMS in 3years. After NCMS reimbursement, the R_{CHE} decreased with different degrees, which were lowered to 49.44%, 41.75% and 34.88% in 2003, 2008 and 2013, respectively (table 2). The total G_{CHE} and MPG_{CHE} also decreased after NCMS reimbursement (table 3). The incidence and severity of CHE before NCMS reimbursement were higher than that after NCMS reimbursement in 3years, which confirmed the effectiveness of NCMS to reduce CHE. Moreover, after adjustment of the covariates, R_{CHE} was significantly associated with NCMS reimbursement ($P < 0.05$) (table 4). To some extent, NCMS protected the rural households with hospitalised members from CHE. Inpatient reimbursement rates in rural areas had a remarkable achievement, increasing 7.5 times from 5.8% in 2003 to 43.7% in 2011.³ The financial protection in rural areas has been steadily improved. An apparent enhancement could be seen in this protective effect from 2003 to 2013, especially after the 2009 Health Care Reform. Having one or more members older than 60 in a household, female gender and older age of the householder, lower level of education of the householder and smaller household size increased the risk of incurring CHE in our study (online supplementary materials). This was consistent with the previous studies.^{4 20–23} NCMS should make preferential policies for these high-risk populations, such as providing special subsidies and an extra benefit package to them. As with targeted poverty alleviation, targeted policies should

be designed to achieve targeted CHE alleviation among these households.

It was worth noting that the R_{CHE} in rural households with hospitalised members in 3years were all more than 34% after NCMS reimbursement, which meant that a number of households still faced CHE even though they were covered by NCMS (table 2). Many studies had shown that CHE was very likely to occur in households with poor economic conditions.^{24–27} The rural households with hospitalised members have heavy economic burdens and are likely to fall into such conditions. Thus, our study population tends to have higher proportion of CHE than previously reported in the whole rural households. Another reason for the high proportion of CHE in these households is the limited numbers of drugs and treatments included in the scope of NCMS. Under the fee-for-service payment system in China, doctors had strong incentives to prescribe expensive drugs and examinations not included by NCMS.⁴ NCMS needs to increase financial investment to expand its catalogue of essential medicines, especially for medicines that could increase the profits of medical institutions and pharmaceutical factories. An effective monitoring system is needed to restrain oversupply of expensive medical services and ensures that first-line generic medicines are available and preferentially prescribed.

We observed that all three indicators declined with the increase of household income in our study (table 2 and 3). Low-income households were more likely to incur CHE than other groups. One of the main reasons is their limited ability to pay for non-subsistence spending. These households are likely to fall into CHE, even as a result of low health expenditure. This phenomenon had also been experienced in many low-income/middle-income countries in Asia.^{20 27–29} The inequalities of three indicators between different income groups still existed from 2003 to 2013 (table 2 and 3). Equity needs to be considered in the upgrade of NCMS. A higher share of resources should be allocated to areas with poor economic capacity, especially for low-income households, known as ‘Dibaohu’ in China. The current medical financial assistance scheme, which was a scheme designed to

Table 3 Severity of catastrophic health expenses (CHE) among all the studied households (%)

Indicators	2003				2013				
	Before		After		Before		After		
	reimbursement	reimbursement	Change	reimbursement	reimbursement	Change	reimbursement	Change	
<i>G</i> _{CHE}									
Total	21.51	12.57	8.94	23.23	10.08	13.15	41.65	8.15	33.50
East	19.54	13.56	5.98	28.54	12.54	16.00	56.92	10.51	46.41
Centre	25.12	25.12	0	20.28	8.74	11.54	38.00	8.29	29.71
West	24.73	7.15	17.58	22.09	9.52	12.57	36.64	6.72	29.92
Q1	26.37	21.66	4.71	42.80	16.32	26.48	86.46	15.96	70.50
Q2	28.51	12.94	15.57	22.77	10.17	12.60	57.13	9.57	47.56
Q3	18.70	9.06	9.64	17.38	8.57	8.81	28.70	6.02	22.68
Q4	13.01	6.85	6.16	13.56	6.55	7.01	13.90	4.05	9.85
<i>MP</i> _{CHE}									
Total	36.52	25.43	11.09	42.32	24.14	18.18	70.61	23.35	47.26
East	33.46	26.88	6.58	48.54	26.97	21.57	89.67	26.58	63.09
Centre	29.31	29.31	0	40.94	22.73	18.21	66.53	23.33	43.20
West	46.82	18.95	27.87	39.00	23.09	15.91	62.91	21.16	41.75
Q1	37.07	30.46	6.61	54.41	26.90	27.51	100.24	27.17	73.07
Q2	47.15	26.50	20.65	38.38	22.96	15.42	77.61	22.19	55.42
Q3	30.72	19.84	10.88	34.12	21.86	12.26	52.38	20.65	31.73
Q4	29.93	21.01	8.92	36.42	23.48	12.94	42.32	22.03	20.29

Table 4 Influence of the New Cooperative Medical Scheme on the incidence rates of catastrophic health expenses

Year	Change (%)	Crude		Adjusted		
		OR (95% CI)	Increment (%)	OR (95% CI)	Increment (%)	
Total						
2003	9.45	1.46 (1.23 to 1.74)	–	1.50 (1.24 to 1.81)	–	
2008	13.15	1.70 (1.61 to 1.80)	16.44	1.79 (1.69 to 1.90)	19.33	
2013	24.10	2.68 (2.54 to 2.83)	57.65	2.94 (2.77 to 3.11)	64.25	
Area						
East						
2003	7.97	1.38 (1.13 to 1.69)	–	1.43 (1.14 to 1.80)	–	
2008	12.30	1.64 (1.48 to 1.82)	18.84	1.77 (1.57 to 1.99)	23.78	
2013	23.91	2.66 (2.37 to 2.97)	62.20	3.08 (2.71 to 3.50)	74.01	
Central						
2003	0	1.00 (1.00 to 1.00)	–	1.00 (1.00 to 1.00)	–	
2008	11.07	1.57 (1.43 to 1.72)	57	1.64 (1.49 to 1.82)	64.00	
2013	21.62	2.42 (2.23 to 2.63)	54.14	2.63 (2.40 to 2.88)	60.37	
West						
2003	15.09	1.85 (1.24 to 2.75)	–	1.86 (1.23 to 2.82)	–	
2008	15.41	1.86 (1.69 to 2.05)	0.54	1.96 (1.77 to 2.16)	5.38	
2013	26.49	3.00 (2.74 to 3.28)	61.29	3.22 (2.93 to 3.55)	64.29	
Income						
Q1						
2003	0	1.00 (1.00 to 1.00)	–	1.00 (1.00 to 1.00)	–	
2008	17.98	2.39 (2.03 to 2.81)	139.00	2.56 (2.16 to 3.04)	156.00	
2013	27.50	4.40 (3.70 to 5.24)	84.10	4.65 (3.89 to 5.55)	81.64	
Q2						
2003	11.63	1.60 (1.08 to 2.37)	–	1.63 (1.08 to 2.45)	–	
2008	15.02	1.83 (1.62 to 2.08)	14.38	1.90 (1.67 to 2.16)	16.56	
2013	30.48	3.68 (3.19 to 4.25)	101.09	3.86 (3.32 to 4.49)	103.16	
Q3						
2003	15.22	1.85 (1.21 to 2.84)	–	1.89 (1.23 to 1.92)	–	
2008	11.71	1.61 (1.44 to 1.80)	–12.97	1.63 (1.46 to 1.83)	–13.76	
2013	25.63	2.94 (2.68 to 3.23)	82.61	3.04 (2.76 to 3.35)	86.50	
Q4						
2003	10.87	1.59 (1.08 to 2.34)	–	1.62 (1.08 to 2.41)	–	
2008	9.35	1.53 (1.39 to 1.69)	–3.77	1.55 (1.41 to 1.72)	–4.32	
2013	14.47	2.17 (1.94 to 2.43)	41.83	2.21 (1.97 to 2.48)	42.58	

provide support to the poor households for their CHE, should be further promoted to play a more efficient role in preventing CHE.

The changes of R_{CHE} and OR before and after NCMS reimbursement in the west region were larger than the corresponding values in other regions in 3 years (table 2 and 4). A higher share of resources was allocated to the west region. The inpatient reimbursement rates in the east, central and west geographical regions in 2011 were 46.8%, 41.2% and 51.2%, respectively.^{3 29} Almost all three indicators after NCMS reimbursement decreased

rapidly from 2003 to 2008 but slowed down from 2008 to 2013 (table 2 and 3). This is due to the worst health situation in 2003 and the saturated health situation in 2008.^{30 31}

With the establishment of basic medical and health system and the improvement of residents' health consciousness, the demand for medical and health services has increased rapidly. Two-week prevalence rate of residents increased from 18.9% in 2008 to 24.1% in 2013, the prevalence rate of chronic diseases increased from 24.1% in 2008 to 33.1% in 2013, and

the rate of resident hospitalisation increased by 150% in the last 10 years.³² Accordingly, medical expenditures have also increased rapidly.^{32 33} The financial protection of NCMS in rural areas faces great challenges. To further prevent CHE, NCMS should increase financing level to lower the deductibles and copayments, and set higher reimbursement rates and ceilings. A more effective cost control mechanism is also important.

This study has several strengths. First, to control the validity and reliability of statistical analysis, GEE, in view of its advantages, was used to estimate the OR and 95% CI of the association between the catastrophe's incidence and NCMS reimbursement. Second, most studies focused on a specific local area or the short-term effect of NCMS on CHE in China. We assessed the 10-year trend of the incidence and severity of CHE at the national level using data from the NHSS. Third, three indicators were used to capture the catastrophe's incidence and intensity, and data were disaggregated by three geographical regions and four household income levels. This study also has some limitations. First, NCMS was at the start stage in 2003 and the coverage was very low in rural China. Therefore, the sample size in 2003 was small with only 338 households. However, the impact of NCMS in 2003, 2008 and 2013 was reflected in the difference in CHE before and after reimbursement, and all the analyses were independently conducted in each year. In addition, we focused the overall trend of results from 2003 to 2013, and the sample size in 2003 had little influence on this trend. Second, we only focused on the rural households with hospitalised members covered by NCMS in this study. This limited the generalisability of the results considering that our results were specific to these households. Our findings should be interpreted cautiously. The inclusion of data from other countries should contribute to the ability to generalise the results of future studies.

CONCLUSION

The R_{CHE} , G_{CHE} and MPG_{CHE} all decreased rapidly after NCMS reimbursement, and their changes increased rapidly from 2003 to 2013. After adjustment of the covariates, the R_{CHE} after NCMS reimbursement was significantly lower than that before NCMS reimbursements in each year. The NCMS partly protected the rural households with hospitalised members from CHE. The financial protection in rural areas had been steadily improved with the development of NCMS. However, inequalities between different groups still existed. The R_{CHE} in rural households with hospitalised members in 3 years were all more than 34% after reimbursement, which meant that many households still faced CHE even though they were covered by NCMS. To further prevent CHE, NCMS should increase financing level to provide a better benefit package and increase reimbursement rates, make

preferential policies for the high-risk populations and low-income households, properly expand the catalogue of essential medicines, and establish effective supervision system. A high-quality healthcare in China will contribute to global health because of China's great population share in the world. Undoubtedly, the gain and loss during this reform will serve as reference for other countries, especially low-income/middle-income countries.

Acknowledgements The authors would like to thank all the participants in this study.

Contributors BX, ZW and MH drafted the manuscript. YC and RF performed data collection and statistical analyses. ML and QM made a substantial contribution to the interpretation of the data and study design. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding The research is funded by National Natural Science Foundation of China (81273183 to ML).

Competing interests None declared.

Patient consent Obtained.

Ethics approval The institutional review board of the Chinese National Bureau of Statistics provided review and ethics approval of the survey.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Data sharing statement Original data are available on request. These were stored on password-protected computers at the Center for Health Statistics and Information of the Ministry of Health in Beijing, China.

Open Access This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>

© Article author(s) (or their employer(s) unless otherwise stated in the text of the article) 2018. All rights reserved. No commercial use is permitted unless otherwise expressly granted.

REFERENCES

1. Ministry of Health of the People's Republic of China. *New Cooperative Medical Scheme in 2011*. China: Ministry of Health of the People's Republic of China, 2012.
2. Xu K. *Distribution of health payments and catastrophic expenditures methodology*. Geneva: Department of Health System Financing, WHO, 2005.
3. Meng Q, Xu L, Zhang Y, et al. Trends in access to health services and financial protection in China between 2003 and 2011: a cross-sectional study. *Lancet* 2012;379:805–14.
4. Li Y, Wu Q, Liu C, et al. Catastrophic health expenditure and rural household impoverishment in China: what role does the new cooperative health insurance scheme play? *PLoS One* 2014;9:e93253.
5. Sun YL, Xu LZ. The equity research of health service among urban and rural different professions residents in WeiHai. *Chinese Health Service Management* 2007;23:584–6.
6. Wu B. Dental service utilization among urban and rural older adults in China -- a brief communication. *J Public Health Dent* 2007;67:185–8.
7. Liang QJ, Zhang XY, Shen X. The equity of health service utilization among urban and rural residents in China. *Health Economics Research* 2010;5:26–8.
8. Zhang ZG, Huang L. Research on the disparity and equalization between city and countryside of basic medical safeguard in China. *Reformation & Strategy* 2011;27:176–9.
9. Hong LJ. *Analysis of regional balance in maternal and children health services utilization and its change in China*. AnHui, HeFei: AnHui Medical University, 2011.
10. Wang Q, Liu H, Lu ZX, et al. Role of the new rural cooperative medical system in alleviating catastrophic medical payments for hypertension, stroke and coronary heart disease in poor rural areas of China. *BMC Public Health* 2014;14:907.

11. Liu Y, Rao K, Wu J, *et al.* China's health system performance. *Lancet* 2008;372:1914–23.
12. Center for Health Statistics and Information. *Reports of nation health service survey summary*, 2004.
13. Center for Health Statistics and Information. *Analysis report of national health services survey in China, 2008*. Beijing: Center for Health Statistics and Information, Ministry of Health China, 2009.
14. Xu L, Wang Y, Collins CD, *et al.* Urban health insurance reform and coverage in China using data from National Health Services Surveys in 1998 and 2003. *BMC Health Serv Res* 2007;7:37.
15. Zeger SL, Liang KY. Longitudinal data analysis for discrete and continuous outcomes. *Biometrics* 1986;42:121–30.
16. Zeger SL, Liang KY, Albert PS. Models for longitudinal data: a generalized estimating equation approach. *Biometrics* 1988;44:1049–60.
17. Zhang H, Min J. A comparative study of GEE and MLM in a related data. *Chinese Journal of Health Statistics* 2012;29:214–6.
18. National Bureau of Statistics of China. *National economic and social development statistics bulletin in 2011, 2012*.
19. National Bureau of Statistics. *China Statistical Yearbook*. Beijing: China Statistical Press, 2009.
20. Xu K, Evans DB, Carrin G, *et al.* Protecting households from catastrophic health spending. *Health Aff* 2007;26:972–83.
21. Yardim MS, Cilingiroglu N, Yardim N. Catastrophic health expenditure and impoverishment in Turkey. *Health Policy* 2010;94:26–33.
22. Somkotra T, Lagrada LP. Which households are at risk of catastrophic health spending: experience in Thailand after universal coverage. *Health Aff* 2009;28:w467–78.
23. Li Y, Chi I, Zhang K, *et al.* Comparison of health services use by Chinese urban and rural older adults in Yunnan province. *Geriatr Gerontol Int* 2006;6:260–9.
24. Xu K, Evans DB, Kawabata K, *et al.* Household catastrophic health expenditure: a multicountry analysis. *Lancet* 2003;362:111–7.
25. Su TT, Kouyaté B, Flessa S. Catastrophic household expenditure for health care in a low-income society: a study from Nouna District, Burkina Faso. *Bull World Health Organ* 2006;84:21–7.
26. Waters HR, Anderson GF, Mays J. Measuring financial protection in health in the United States. *Health Policy* 2004;69:339–49.
27. Kawabata K, Xu K, Carrin G. Preventing impoverishment through protection against catastrophic health expenditure. *Bull World Health Organ* 2002;80:612.
28. Flores G, Krishnakumar J, O'Donnell O, *et al.* Coping with health-care costs: implications for the measurement of catastrophic expenditures and poverty. *Health Econ* 2008;17:1393–412.
29. Zhao H. The significance of the new rural cooperative medical scheme for China. *Theory Invest* 2007;5:107–9.
30. Wagstaff A, van Doorslaer E. Catastrophe and impoverishment in paying for health care: with applications to Vietnam 1993–1998. *Health Econ* 2003;12:921–33.
31. Hu S, Tang S, Liu Y, *et al.* Reform of how health care is paid for in China: challenges and opportunities. *Lancet* 2008;372:1846–53.
32. Center for Health Statistics and Information. *Analysis report of national health services survey in China, 2013*. Beijing: Center for Health Statistics and Information, Ministry of Health China, 2016.
33. Zhou Z, Su Y, Gao J, *et al.* Assessing equity of healthcare utilization in rural China: results from nationally representative surveys from 1993 to 2008. *Int J Equity Health* 2013;12:34.