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ABSTRACT Burkholderia pseudomallei, the founding member of the B. pseudomallei complex (Bpc), is a biothreat agent and causes melioidosis, a disease whose treatment mainly relies on ceftazidime and meropenem. The concern is that B. pseudomallei could enhance its drug resistance repertoire by the acquisition of DNA from resistant near-neighbor species. Burkholderia ubonensis, a member of the B. cepacia complex (Bcc), is commonly coisolated from environments where B. pseudomallei is present. Unlike B. pseudomallei, in which significant primary carbapenem resistance is rare, it is not uncommon in B. ubonensis, but the underlying mechanisms are unknown. We established that carbapenem resistance in B. ubonensis is due to an inducible class A PenB β-lactamase, as has been shown for other Bcc bacteria. Inducibility is not sufficient for high-level resistance but also requires other determinants, such as a PenB that is more robust than that present in susceptible isolates, as well as other resistance factors. Curiously and diagnostic for the two complexes, both Bpc and Bcc bacteria contain distinct annotated PenA class A β-lactamases. However, the protein from Bcc bacteria is missing its essential active-site serine and, therefore, is not a β-lactamase. Regulated expression of a transcriptional penB-lacZ (β-galactosidase) fusion in the B. pseudomallei surrogate B. thailandensis confirms that although Bpc bacteria lack an inducible β-lactamase, they contain the components required for responding to aberrant peptidoglycan synthesis resulting from β-lactam challenge. Understanding the diversity of antimicrobial resistance in Burkholderia species is informative about how the challenges arising from potential resistance transfer between them can be met.

IMPORTANCE Burkholderia pseudomallei causes melioidosis, a tropical disease that is highly fatal if not properly treated. Our data show that, in contrast to B. pseudomallei, B. ubonensis β-lactam resistance is fundamentally different because intrinsic resistance is mediated by an inducible class A β-lactamase. This includes resistance to carbapenems. Our work demonstrates that studies with near-neighbor species are informative about the diversity of antimicrobial resistance in Burkholderia and can also provide clues about the potential of resistance transfer between bacteria inhabiting the same environment. Knowledge about potential adverse challenges resulting from the horizontal transfer of resistance genes between members of the two complexes enables the design of effective countermeasures.
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Burkholderia pseudomallei is a Gram-negative bacterium inhabiting water and soil in regions of endemicity that span the tropical and subtropical regions of the globe (1, 2). The bacterium is the founding member of the B. pseudomallei complex (Bpc) (3) and is considered a biothreat agent. B. pseudomallei infections are difficult to treat due to intrinsic antibiotic resistance, which limits therapy to just a few antibiotics (4–6). Primary infection isolates that are collected from melioidosis patients prior to antibiotic exposure are naturally susceptible to the expanded-spectrum β-lactam antibiotics (e.g., ceftazidime [CAZ] and carbapenems [meropenem [MEM] and imipenem [IMP]]) used for melioidosis treatment (2, 6). Acute-phase melioidosis therapy consists of CAZ and/or MEM, which is critical for a successful clinical outcome, and even though acquired resistance to these antibiotics during therapy is uncommon, at least CAZ resistance (CAZr) has been increasingly detected (7). Although deletion of penicillin-binding protein 3 (PBP 3) has been documented as a clinically significant CAZr mechanism (8), mutations causing class A PenA β-lactamase overexpression (promoter upmutation, creating a stronger promoter, and gene duplication and amplification) and amino acid substitutions are the main causes of acquired CAZr in B. pseudomallei (9–15). Environmental isolates as well as primary isolates taken from melioidosis patients are susceptible to MEM (MICs, <1 to 2 μg/ml), and carbapenem resistance is less common than CAZr. However, in rare Australian B. pseudomallei isolates, decreased MEM susceptibility (MICs, 3 to 8 μg/ml) has been noted and has been attributed to (i) mutations affecting PenA expression and changes in critical amino acid residues (14) and (ii) efflux in regulatory mutants affecting AmrAB-OprA or BpeAB-OprB efflux pump expression, arising during MEM therapy (16). PenA is the only active β-lactamase in B. pseudomallei, and its expression is not inducible by β-lactam substrates (17). Even though β-lactam resistance and resistance to other antibiotics are rare in B. pseudomallei, possible enhancement of the resistance repertoire by acquisition of DNA from drug-resistant near-neighbor Bpc or B. cepacia complex (Bcc) species is of concern. Although there is no direct evidence for genetic transfer between Bpc and Bcc species, about half of all B. pseudomallei strains are naturally competent for non-source-specific DNA uptake, which could facilitate horizontal gene transfer in environments that the bacteria coinhabit (18, 19).

Burkholderia ubonensis is a Gram-negative Bcc bacterium that can be commonly isolated from water and soil (20, 21). Along with other Bcc members, this bacterium is regularly coisolated from the environment with B. pseudomallei and is considered nonpathogenic. For unknown reasons, high-level antibiotic resistance is more common in B. ubonensis than in other Bcc bacteria. In contrast to other Bcc bacteria and B. pseudomallei, MEM resistance (MEMr) is not uncommon in B. ubonensis, but the β-lactam resistance mechanisms in this bacterium have not yet been elucidated (21). High-level (≥32 μg/ml) MEMr is frequent in isolates from Puerto Rico but is less frequently observed in Australian isolates, where MEM MIC levels range from ≥32 μg/ml to 2 μg/ml (21).

Currently, there are four molecular classes of β-lactamases, classes A, B, C, and D (22). Class A, C, and D enzymes contain an active-site serine, and class B enzymes are Zn²⁺ metalloenzymes (22). Bcc and Bpc bacteria contain chromosomally encoded representatives of class A, C, and D β-lactamases (Fig. 1). Bcc bacteria encode two annotated class A β-lactamases, PenA* (an inactive homolog of Bpc PenA, first noted in B. multivorans [23]) and PenB, as well as the class C enzyme AmpC (Fig. 1) (24). To avoid widespread confusion caused by assigning a different name to the same enzymes in Bpc and Bcc bacteria (25), we employ a uniform nomenclature for the proteins found in the respective bacteria. For Bpc bacteria, we use PenA, which has traditionally been used to describe the lone class A β-lactamase in B. pseudomallei and the closely related species B. mallei (9). For Bcc bacteria, we use PenB, whose sequence was first described...
in *B. cenocepacia* (25). The genes for PenA, PenA*, and PenB are genetically localized in a defined context in Bcc and Bpc bacteria (15) (see Fig. S1 in the supplemental material).

Like *B. pseudomallei*, the *B. ubonensis* genome encodes a putative class D OXA-like serine β-lactamase (Fig. 1), and some of these enzymes exhibit carbapenemase activity in diverse Gram-negative pathogens. However, this enzyme has not been demonstrated to confer β-lactam/carbapenem resistance in clinical or environmental *B. pseudomallei* isolates.

In many Gram-negative bacteria, including Bcc bacteria, a β-lactamase(s) is induced in response to peptidoglycan perturbation, which in turn results from transpeptidase inhibition by β-lactam antibiotics. In *Enterobacteriaceae* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, this regulation is mediated by the AmpR/AmpC regulatory pathway (26, 27), and the analogous system in *B. cenocepacia* is PenR/PenB (24, 28). PenR is a LysR-type protein encoded by a gene that is transcribed divergently from penB (Fig. 1). AmpR-type proteins are bifunctional transcriptional regulators, functioning either as a repressor or as an activator. Binding of the cell wall precursor UDP-MurNAc-pentapeptide to PenR leads to repression of penB and *ampC* transcription. According to the model (26, 27), PenR functions as an activator after binding of either GlcNAc-1,6-anhydroMurNAc-pentapeptide or 1,6-anhydroMurNAc-pentapeptide. The first is the product of periplasmic soluble lytic transglycosylase (Slt), which cleaves the accumulated nascent non-cross-linked peptidoglycan that accumulates as a result of β-lactam inhibition of the transpeptidase domain of bifunctional high-molecular-weight penicillin-binding proteins (PBPs). The liberated GlcNAc-1,6-anhydroMurNAc-pentapeptide is transported into the cell by the AmpG permease. Cytoplasmic GlcNAc-1,6-anhydroMurNAc-pentapeptide either binds PenR for PenB and AmpC β-lactamase activation or is cleaved by β-N-acetylhexosaminidase (NagZ). The resulting 1,6-anhydroMurNAc-pentapeptide also functions to activate PenR.

The main goals of this study were to elucidate the mechanism(s) underpinning the high-level MEM′ (MIC, ≈32 µg/ml) in *B. ubonensis* strain Bu278 (also known as Bp8955) by comparison to a susceptible strain and to assess whether Bpc bacteria are able to express exogenous β-lactam resistance determinants in the same manner as *B. ubonensis* after acquiring its resistance genes, possibly via horizontal gene transfer, for which there is ample evidence in *B. pseudomallei* (29).
RESULTS

Identification of B. ubonensis mutants with reduced meropenem susceptibility. To assess the resistance determinants that form the basis for the high MEM\(^\text{r}\) (MIC, ≥32 µg/ml) of strain Bu278 (the B. ubonensis strains used in this study are listed in Table S1 in the supplemental material), random transposon mutagenesis was performed. A screen of 3,515 transformants identified 10 mutants with increased MEM susceptibility, as defined by no growth on Lennox broth (LB) plates containing 8 µg/ml MEM. The meropenem MICs for all 10 mutants were determined and ranged from 1.5 µg/ml (susceptible) to 24 µg/ml (resistant) (Table S2). With the exception of two insertions in a gene encoding the outer membrane (OM) protein assembly factor BamC, unique insertions were in genes encoding diverse cellular functions. This distribution may be indicative of the diversity of factors governing \(\beta\)-lactam resistance in Burkholderia, as previously established for a ceftazidime (CAZ)- and imipenem (IMP)-resistant B. pseudomallei strain (15). Transposon or deletion mutants deficient in soluble lytic transglycosylase (Slt) and \(\beta\)-N-acetylhexosaminidase (NagZ) exhibited the lowest MEM MIC (1.5 µg/ml) (Tables 1 to 3; Table S2). The \(\Delta\)nagZ and \(\Delta\)slt mutations were complemented by single-copy expression of the respective wild-type genes from the leaky \(P_{\text{BAD}}\) promoter (Table 2). These observations are consistent with these two enzymes playing a crucial role in \(\beta\)-lactamase induction, as has been established with other bacteria, and hinted at MEM\(^{r}\) being caused by an inducible carbapenemase.

\(\beta\)-Lactam antibiotics induce PenB and AmpC, but not PenA\(^*\) and OXA. To ascertain the cadre of \(\beta\)-lactamases potentially induced by \(\beta\)-lactam antibiotics that are clinically significant in Bcc and Bpc bacteria, we measured the mRNA levels of penA\(^*\), penB, ampC, and oxa in Bu278 cells that were grown to log phase and then either challenged for 1 h with subinhibitory concentrations of 8 µg/ml IMP and MEM or 3 µg/ml CAZ or left uninduced (containing no antibiotics) (Table 4). These concentra-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Relevant genotype</th>
<th>MIC (µg/ml)(^{a})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bu278</td>
<td>Wild type</td>
<td>MEM ≥32 IMP ≥32 CAZ 8 AMX ≥256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bu290</td>
<td>Bu278 (\Delta)slt::T23</td>
<td>1.5 6 1.5 ≥256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bu295</td>
<td>Bu278 (\Delta)nagZ::T23</td>
<td>1.5 6 1.5 ≥256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bu296</td>
<td>Bu278 (\Delta)slt</td>
<td>1.5 6 1.5 ≥256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bu308</td>
<td>Bu278 (\Delta)nagZ</td>
<td>1.5 4 2 ≥256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bu311</td>
<td>Bu278 (\Delta)penA(^*)</td>
<td>≥32 ≥32 8 ≥256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bu312</td>
<td>Bu278 (\Delta)penB</td>
<td>0.75 0.19 1.5 192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bu314</td>
<td>Bu278 (\Delta)ampC</td>
<td>≥32 ≥32 8 ≥256</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{a}\)The MIC was determined using the Etest method, performed in triplicate on three separate days, and values are reported as the mode of the readings.

There is a table with the following structure:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Relevant genotype</th>
<th>MIC (µg/ml)(^{a})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bu278</td>
<td>Wild type</td>
<td>MEM ≥32 IMP ≥32 CAZ 8 AMX ≥256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bu290</td>
<td>Bu278 (\Delta)slt::T23</td>
<td>1.5 6 1.5 ≥256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bu295</td>
<td>Bu278 (\Delta)nagZ::T23</td>
<td>1.5 6 1.5 ≥256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bu296</td>
<td>Bu278 (\Delta)slt</td>
<td>1.5 6 1.5 ≥256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bu308</td>
<td>Bu278 (\Delta)nagZ</td>
<td>1.5 4 2 ≥256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bu311</td>
<td>Bu278 (\Delta)penA(^*)</td>
<td>≥32 ≥32 8 ≥256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bu312</td>
<td>Bu278 (\Delta)penB</td>
<td>0.75 0.19 1.5 192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bu314</td>
<td>Bu278 (\Delta)ampC</td>
<td>≥32 ≥32 8 ≥256</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{a}\)The MIC was determined using the Etest method, performed in triplicate on three separate days, and values are reported as the mode of the readings.
tions were chosen because they were subinhibitory for Bu278 (Tables 1 to 3). The data show that expression of penB and ampC was highly inducible by IMP (371-fold and 99-fold, respectively) and MEM (337-fold and 64-fold, respectively) and was inducible to a lesser extent by CAZ (18-fold and 9-fold, respectively). In contrast, penA* and oxa expression was not inducible, at least not with the tested β-lactams and under the conditions employed in this study. To test the dependency of β-lactamase induction on Slt and NagZ, we measured penA*, penB, and ampC mRNA levels in the wild-type strain and its Δslt and ΔnagZ derivatives challenged for 1 h with 1 μg/ml of IMP, MEM, or CAZ; these concentrations were chosen since they were subinhibitory for all strains tested in this experiment (Tables 1 to 3) and allowed growth of the Δslt and ΔnagZ mutants yet reproducibly induced penB and ampC in the wild-type strain Bu278, although the induction levels were not as high as those observed with higher inducer concentrations, especially in MEM-challenged cells (Table 5). The data also show that the IMP- and MEM-induced penB and ampC expression was significantly lower in the Δslt and ΔnagZ mutants than in the wild-type Bu278 strain. Although the impact of the deletion of Slt was more severe than that of the deletion of NagZ, penB and ampC expression was not completely dependent on Slt and NagZ. In contrast, CAZ-induced penB and ampC expression was nearly abolished in the Δslt and ΔnagZ mutants. The effects of the Slt and NagZ deletions were the most pronounced with PenB in IMP-challenged cells, and this was reflected in IMP and MEM resistance levels (Tables 1 to 3; see below). Otherwise, the PenB and AmpC mRNA levels in IMP- and MEM-challenged cells were a poor predictor of the MICs.

Only PenB is required for *Burkholderia ubonensis* Bu278 carbapenem resistance. Because PenB and AmpC expression is highly inducible by carbapenems, we next assessed the potential involvement of these β-lactamases in carbapenem and CAZ resistance using unmarked deletion mutants (Tables 1 to 3). Because the putative class D OXA has never been implicated in clinically significant β-lactam resistance in any *Burkholderia* species, we focused on PenA*, PenB, and AmpC. Bu278 PenA* and AmpC deletion mutants exhibited the same MICs for MEM (≥32 μg/ml), IMP (≥32 μg/ml), CAZ (8 μg/ml), and

### Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>MEM</th>
<th>IMP</th>
<th>CAZ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MIC (mg/l)</td>
<td>MIC (mg/l)</td>
<td>MIC (mg/l)</td>
<td>MIC (mg/l)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>oxa</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>penA*</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>penB</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ampC</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Avibactam inhibition was assessed by broth microdilution, performed in triplicate and on two separate days; the avibactam concentration was kept constant at 4 μg/ml.

*MIC values are reported as the mode of the reading.

*Values were considered significant. NA, not applicable.*

### Table 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Normalized fold mRNA expression ± SD</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>Normalized fold mRNA expression ± SD</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>Normalized fold mRNA expression ± SD</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Untreated</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MEM</td>
<td></td>
<td>IMP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>penA*</td>
<td>0.79 ± 0.10</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.47 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.75 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>penB</td>
<td>336.94 ± 35.58</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>371.00 ± 45.40</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>17.65 ± 1.41</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ampC</td>
<td>64.43 ± 9.03</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>99.31 ± 6.81</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>9.09 ± 0.77</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>oxa</td>
<td>0.80 ± 0.17</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.86 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.70 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Cells of Bu278 (wild type) were grown to log phase in LB medium. Equal portions of the cell cultures remained untreated or were treated with subinhibitory concentrations of meropenem (MEM; 8 μg/ml), imipenem (IMP; 8 μg/ml), or ceftazidime (CAZ; 3 μg/ml). Total RNA was isolated after an additional 1 h of incubation at 37°C. The penA*, penB, ampC, and oxa mRNA levels were determined by RT-qPCR. The penA*, penB, ampC, and oxa mRNA levels were determined by RT-qPCR. Standard deviations (SD) between three biological replicates are indicated. Two-way ANOVA and Sidak’s multiple-comparison test were used to determine the significance of the change in fold mRNA expression levels between treated (with MEM, IMP, or CAZ) and untreated strains. P values of <0.05 were considered significant. NA, not applicable.
amoxicillin (AMX; ≥256 μg/ml) as wild-type strain Bu278 (Table 1). In contrast, the PenB deletion mutant became highly susceptible to IMP and MEM, with MICs of 0.19 μg/ml for IMP and 0.75 μg/ml for MEM, which were at least 168- and 43-fold lower than the MIC for Bu278, respectively (MICs, ≥32 μg/ml for both IMP and MEM). The CAZ MIC of the PenB mutant (1.5 μg/ml) was 5-fold lower than that of Bu278 (8 μg/ml). The ΔpenB mutation was complemented by single-copy expression of wild-type penB from its endogenous promoter (Table 2). Consistent with PenB being a class A β-lactamase, the MEM, IMP, and CAZ resistance of Bu278 was completely reversed to a susceptible phenotype by the β-lactamase inhibitor avibactam (AVI). Avibactam has weak intrinsic β-lactamase expression in ΔpenB Mutants (Table 2). To this end, the native signal sequences of B. ubonensis Bu278 PenA* (PenA*Bu), PenB, B. ubonensis AmpC (AmpCBu), and PenAmp were replaced by the E. coli DsbA signal sequence (ssDsbA) for the export of soluble proteins into the periplasm (30). As a readout for β-lactamase expression and activity, we monitored growth in the presence and the absence of ampicillin (AMP) (Fig. 2). E. coli bacteria expressing PenAmp (Fig. 2B), PenBbu (Fig. 2D), or AmpCbu (Fig. 2E) were able to grow in LB-AMP medium. In contrast, E. coli cells containing the empty vector control (Fig. 2A) or expressing PenAmp*bu (Fig. 2C) did not grow in the presence of AMP. Periplasmic fractions from strains expressing PenAmp*bu, PenBbu, and AmpCbu, but not fractions from strains from PenAmp*bu showed β-lactamase activity in a qualitative nitrocefin assay (Fig. 2F), corroborating the results obtained in the growth experiments whose results are shown in Fig. 2A to E.

PenA* from Bcc bacteria does not exhibit β-lactamase activity due to conserved active-site mutations. It was recently noted that B. multivorans PenA* lacks two Ambler consensus sequences, including the active-site serine (23). To assess whether this is a conserved trait in Bcc bacteria, we examined the PenA* sequences from representative bacteria of five Bcc species: B. cenocepacia, B. dolosa, B. multivorans, B. vietnamiensis, and B. ubonensis (Fig. 3). Of the four Ambler motifs that contain critical active-site residues and the conserved tyrosine or tryptophan at position 105 (Y/W105), only the 166EXXLN172 motif and a noncanonical 234KTG236 motif 234K(T/R/A)G236 were present in PenA* from these bacteria. The 70SXK73 motif, where S70 is the active-site
serine, and the $^{130}$SDN$^{132}$ motif, as well as the Y/W$^{105}$ motif, were missing. While these motifs/residues are lacking, their respective replacements were remarkably conserved. In contrast to PenA*, the four Ambler motifs and, with exception of B. vietnamiensis G4, Y/W$^{105}$ were conserved in the respective PenB homologs. The export signal (the twin arginine residues of the twin arginine transport [TAT] system) and the membrane localization signals (the lipobox and the putative OM sorting signal at the $+2$ position) were conserved among all PenA, PenA*, and PenB proteins. PenA TAT secretion (17) and membrane localization (31) have previously been shown for B. pseudomallei.

The presence of amino acids other than aspartic acid in the $+2$ position of the mature proteins (with a modified cysteine at the $+1$ position) suggests that all are OM-localized lipoproteins. This paradigm is based on E. coli data (32, 33), but a recent study with P. aeruginosa suggests that this may not always be the case with other bacteria (34).

These observations are consistent with the findings that PenB is a $\beta$-lactamase (Fig. 2 and 4) and that B. ubonensis PenA* lacks $\beta$-lactamase activity (Fig. 2). Due to the conserved nature of the PenA* proteins, this is likely also true for other Bcc species.
These observations also suggest that the PenA* protein is exported via the TAT system and localized to the OM. PenB from a highly carbapenem-resistant B. ubonensis strain confers increased resistance to a susceptible B. ubonensis strain. Although MEMr in B. ubonensis is not uncommon, strains from diverse geographical sources, including the Northern Territory of Australia and Puerto Rico, exhibit a wide range of susceptibilities (MICs, 2/32 g/ml to 32/256 g/ml) (21, 35). To assess whether PenB from the highly carbapenem-resistant strain Bu278 was sufficient to bestow resistance on the carbapenem-susceptible B. ubonensis strain MSMB2152, we examined the relative contributions of PenB from strains Bu278 (PenBBu278) and MSMB2152 (PenBMSMB2152) to MEM and IMP resistance in the Bu278 and MSMB2152 ΔpenB derivatives Bu312 and Bu410, respectively (Table 6). When expressed from the native penB promoter in a single copy in the ΔpenB Bu278 strain Bu312, PenBBu278 (complemented strain Bu399) and PenBMSMB2152 (complemented strain Bu393) restored MEM and IMP resistance to levels equivalent to those of the respective wild-type strains Bu278 and MSMB2152.

FIG 3 Comparison of class A β-lactamase domain structures in representative Bcc and Bpc bacteria. The schematic domain structure of Burkholderia PenA and PenB class A β-lactamases is indicated at the top. It includes the twin arginine transport (TAT) secretion and lipobox/position +2 membrane localization domains, the conserved 70SXXK73, 130SDN132, 166EXXLN170, and 234KTG236 Ambler motifs, and the tyrosine or tryptophan residue 105 (Y/W105). The active-site serine is indicated in red font. Most B. pseudomallei isolates contain a serine at position 72, which confers clavulanic acid sensitivity; a serine 72-to-phenylalanine change results in clavulanic acid resistance. Bpc bacteria contain only PenA, and Bcc bacteria contain both PenA* and PenB. The Bcc bacterial PenA* proteins possess the predicted (proven for B. pseudomallei) TAT secretion and lipobox/position +2 membrane localization domains but lack the 70SXXK73 and 130SDN132 motifs and the Y/W105 residue. Abbreviations: Bc, B. cenocepacia; Bd, B. dolosa; Bh, B. hampydoiodes; Bm, B. multivorans; Bo, B. oklahomensis; Bp, B. pseudomallei; Bs, B. singularis; Bt, B. thailandensis; Bu, B. ubonensis; Bv, B. vietnamiensis. The coordinates for the B. dolosa AU0158 KTG motif are residues 235 to 237.

FIG 4 PenB proteins from meropenem-resistant and -susceptible B. ubonensis strains exhibit differential activity. Cell extracts (CFE) were prepared from E. coli GBE180 cells harboring vector pBC-SK(−) (pBC) or recombinant plasmids that constitutively express the penB_Bu278 and penB_MSBMSB2152 β-lactamase genes from the lac promoter. Nitrocefin hydrolysis in reaction mixtures with no extract addition or addition of 5 μg of CFE protein from strains expressing no β-lactamase (pBC), PenB_Bu278 (pBC-penB_Bu278), or PenB_MSBMSB2152 (pBC-penB_MSBMSB2152) was recorded for 30 min at 37°C. The results shown are representative of those from three experiments conducted on separate days.
observed in the respective Bu278 (MICs, ≥32 μg/ml for MEM and IMP) and MSMB2152 (MICs, 3 μg/ml for MEM and 6 μg/ml for IMP) parental strains. Expression of PenB<sub>MSMB2152</sub> in the Δ<sup>penB</sup>MSMB2152 strain Bu410 resulted in levels of MEM<sup>r</sup> and IMP<sup>r</sup> equivalent to those observed in MSMB2152; i.e., MICs of 3 μg/ml (MEM) and 6 μg/ml (IMP) in the complemented mutant (Bu412) versus MICs of 3 μg/ml (MEM) and 12 μg/ml (IMP) in MSMB2152. Expression of PenB<sub>Bu278</sub> in Bu410 increased the MEM<sup>r</sup> level 4-fold over that observed in MSMB2152 (MICs for Bu414 and MSMB2152, 12 μg/ml and 3 μg/ml, respectively); IMP<sup>r</sup> was increased 2.7-fold (MICs for Bu414 and MSMB2152, 32 μg/ml and 12 μg/ml, respectively).

The different carbapenem resistance levels observed in strains Bu278 and MSMB2152 were not attributable to the increased transcription of penB because penB induction levels in strain Bu278 were 2-fold lower after MEM challenge and the same after IMP challenge (Table S3). When expressed in <i>E. coli</i>, PenB<sub>Bu278</sub> hydrolyzed nitrocefin more rapidly than PenB<sub>MSMB2152</sub> (Fig. 4). However, absent a full kinetic evaluation of the two enzymes, these data are simply indicative of the possibility that PenB<sub>Bu278</sub> is perhaps a more robust β-lactamase than PenB<sub>MSMB2152</sub> and that this property may be a factor contributing to the significantly higher MEM and IMP resistance observed in Bu278 than in MSMB2152. Even so, the incomplete restoration of MEM<sup>r</sup> in the Δ<sup>penB</sup>MSMB2152 strain upon PenB<sub>Bu278</sub> expression (strain Bu414; MIC, 12 μg/ml) to the level observed in Bu278 (MIC, ≥32 μg/ml) hints at the contribution of other factors to the high-level MEM<sup>r</sup> observed in Bu278 (Table 6). Curiously, while IMP and MEM pen<sup>β</sup> induction levels in Bu278 and MSMB2152 were either the same (IMP) or reduced 2-fold (MEM), ampC induction levels after IMP and MEM challenge were significantly higher in MSMB2152 than in Bu278 (7- and 11-fold, respectively) (Table S3). The significance of these higher AmpC expression levels as a consequence of IMP and MEM challenge is unclear.

**PenR-dependent PenB<sub>Bu</sub> induction in Burkholderia thailandensis in response to β-lactam challenge.** As mentioned above, the PenA β-lactamase is not inducible in Bpc bacteria upon β-lactam challenge, and these bacteria do not possess the equivalents of PenR and PenB present in Bcc bacteria. To assess whether Bpc bacteria would be able to express the PenB β-lactamase in response to β-lactam challenge, especially MEM and IMP, as a result of peptidoglycan synthesis perturbation, we chose the closely related and widely used <i>B. pseudomallei</i> surrogate <i>B. thailandensis</i>. To avoid potential complications by the endogenous PenA, we elected to not use PenB β-lactamase activity as a readout but, rather, used pen<sup>β- lacZ</sup> transcriptional activity. To this end, we

---

**Table 6 Role of PenB in carbapenem susceptibility of <i>B. ubonensis</i> strains**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Relevant genotype</th>
<th>PenB expressed</th>
<th>MIC (μg/ml)&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PenB&lt;sub&gt;Bu278&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>MEM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bu278</td>
<td>Wild type</td>
<td>PenB&lt;sub&gt;Bu278&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>≥32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSMB2152</td>
<td>Wild type</td>
<td>PenB&lt;sub&gt;MSMB2152&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bu312</td>
<td>ΔpenB</td>
<td>PenB&lt;sub&gt;Bu278&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bu410</td>
<td>ΔpenB</td>
<td>PenB&lt;sub&gt;MSMB2152&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bu397</td>
<td>ΔpenB glmS3::mini-Tn/7T</td>
<td>PenB&lt;sub&gt;Bu278&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bu399</td>
<td>ΔpenB glmS3::mini-Tn/7T-p&lt;sup&gt;penB&lt;/sup&gt;Bu278</td>
<td>PenB&lt;sub&gt;MSMB2152&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bu416</td>
<td>ΔpenB glmS1::mini-Tn/7T</td>
<td>PenB&lt;sub&gt;MSMB2152&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bu412</td>
<td>ΔpenB glmS1::mini-Tn/7T-P&lt;sup&gt;penB&lt;/sup&gt;Bu278</td>
<td>PenB&lt;sub&gt;MSMB2152&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bu414</td>
<td>ΔpenB glmS1::mini-Tn/7T-P&lt;sup&gt;penB&lt;/sup&gt;BSMB2152</td>
<td>PenB&lt;sub&gt;MSMB2152&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The MIC was determined using the Etest method, performed in triplicate on three separate days, and values are reported as the mode of the readings.*

---
constructed a mini-Tn7 element for chromosomal integration of a penB\text{Bu} gene under the control of Pen\text{BuR} from strain Bu278 (Fig. 5A) and assessed \text{Bu}\text{Bu}-\text{Galactosidase (}\text{Bu}\text{Bu}-\text{Gal}) expression in \text{B. ubonensis} (Fig. 5B) and \text{B. thailandensis} (Fig. 5C).

\text{Bu}\text{Bu}-\text{Gal expression showed the same patterns in \text{B. ubonensis} and \text{B. thailandensis}; i.e., it was inducible by MEM and IMP but not by CAZ, although the latter demonstrated significant inducibility of penB\text{Bu} mRNA levels in cells challenged with the same CAZ concentration were quantitated (Table 4).}

**DISCUSSION**

Infections caused by \textit{B. pseudomallei}, one of the two known pathogenic members of the Bpc, are increasingly reported in parts of the world where the bacterium and the disease that it causes, melioidosis, have traditionally been underreported (1, 2). Acquired antimicrobial resistance is rare in \textit{B. pseudomallei} (7, 36) and, in the absence of any demonstrated horizontal resistance gene transfer, is confined to genomically encoded determinants whose expression or activity is altered by mutational events (8–16, 37, 38). However, due to the dearth of clinically useful antibiotics, any resistance affecting their use has severe and potentially fatal consequences. Because of the natural colistin resistance of \textit{Burkholderia} species (2, 24), MEM is the drug of choice and last resort for patients that are afflicted with severe cases of melioidosis (2, 6). High-level MEMR has not yet been reported in \textit{B. pseudomallei}, but decreased susceptibility to MEM occurring over the course of melioidosis therapy has been documented (16). However,
the recent discovery that highly MEM-resistant strains of *B. ubonensis*, a nonpathogenic Bcc member, are frequently coisolated from the environment with *B. pseudomallei* raised the specter of potential horizontal resistance gene transfer between the two species (21).

Our studies of *B. ubonensis* MEM mechanisms presented here revealed features that are shared with other Bcc bacteria, but they also revealed novel aspects of β-lactam resistance in *Burkholderia* species and even aspects that are specific to *B. ubonensis*. In addition, while our collective knowledge of β-lactam resistance in Bcc bacteria has, for the most part, been derived from studies conducted with different species, our study focused on *B. ubonensis*. Not surprisingly, the mode of regulation of the cadre of β-lactamases encoded by *B. ubonensis* is similar to that of the β-lactamases encoded by other Bcc bacteria. This bacterium encodes three potential β-lactamases, one class A enzyme (PenB), one class C enzyme (AmpC), and one OXA-like class D enzyme. Although *B. ubonensis* genome annotations propose a second class A β-lactamase, PenA, a homolog of *B. pseudomallei* PenA, we show in this study that this protein is not a β-lactamase and we thus call it PenA*. By comparison, *B. pseudomallei* encodes one class A β-lactamase (PenA) and the OXA-like class D β-lactamase, but PenA is the only clinically significant enzyme. Like the respective enzymes in *B. cenocepacia* (28) and *B. multivorans* (23), the expression of PenB*, AmpC*, and AmpC* is coregulated and induced at the transcriptional level in a PenR-dependent manner in response to challenge with subinhibitory concentrations of β-lactams, which, in our studies, were limited to the clinically most relevant IMP, MEM, and CAZ. Like *B. pseudomallei* PenA (17), *B. ubonensis* PenA* is not inducible by these antibiotics, and neither is OXA. We demonstrated that the periplasmic soluble lytic transglycosylase (Slr) and the cytoplasmic β-N-acetylhexosaminidase (NagZ) play crucial roles in the β-lactamase induction process in response to β-lactam challenge. PenB*, and AmpC*, expression was not completely dependent on Slr and NagZ, suggesting that, like in the *Enterobacteriaceae* and *P. aeruginosa*, other factors play a significant role in the induction of PenB*, and AmpC*, in response to β-lactam challenge (26, 27, 39).

Mutational analyses confirmed that PenB* is a carbapenemase and the main factor for high-level carbapenem resistance in strain Bu278 and that this resistance can be completely reversed by the β-lactamase inhibitor avibactam, which by itself lacks significant antimicrobial activity against *B. ubonensis*. The same studies also revealed that in *B. ubonensis*, PenB has weak activity against CAZ, which is not sufficient for clinically significant CAZ resistance (assuming that the breakpoints for CAZ susceptibility in *B. ubonensis* and *B. pseudomallei* are similar, i.e., ≤8 μg/ml). Finally, deletion of PenB slightly affected the AMX MIC. Although PenA* and AmpC seemed to have no significant activity against carbapenems and CAZ and their deletion did not affect AMX MICs, the data are more difficult to interpret because the MIC data on which these observations are based have no endpoints. However, when expressed in the *E. coli* periplasm, all enzymes except PenA* showed β-lactamase activity in a nitrocefin assay and supported growth in AMP-containing medium. These and previously published data for *B. multivorans* suggest that, upon induction, AmpC could have a minor effect on MICs (23). A notable difference between the two studies is that in our study the expression of *B. ubonensis* AmpC in *E. coli* supported bacterial growth in the presence of 100 μg/ml AMP, whereas the expression of *B. multivorans* AmpC conferred significantly lower resistance (MIC, 8 μg/ml) on *E. coli* (23).

Examination of the amino acid sequences of the PenA, PenA*, and PenB enzymes of representative species of the Bcc and Bpc revealed some novel findings about the properties of these proteins. Bpc PenA and Bcc PenB contain the Ambler motifs and the Y/W/105 residue and are functional β-lactamases. In contrast, and as previously noted but not experimentally shown for *B. multivorans* (23), the Bcc PenA* proteins lack the SXX/K*73 and DSN/132 motifs, as well as residue Y/105, and contain a noncanonical K(R/A)G/236 motif. A lack of critical motifs, including the active-site serine 70, explains the lack of β-lactamase activity. A unique feature present only in *B. ubonensis* and not in other Bcc or Bpc bacterial class A β-lactamases is the presence of a
tryptophan instead of a tyrosine at position 105 in all examined strains, irrespective of their MEM‘ levels. The role of residue 105 in β-lactam binding was previously recognized. For instance, the ability of class A enzymes from other *Burkholderia* species containing tyrosine at position 105 to hydrolyze carbapenems is dependent on the tyrosine conformation in the protein (40). Furthermore, previous studies showed the importance of W105 in the catalytic activity of *Klebsiella pneumoniae* KPC-2 carbapenemase; its presence overcomes the possible constraints posed by tyrosine at this position (41). In this context, it is noteworthy that *B. ubonensis* seems to be the only *Bpc* or *Bcc* species whose class A β-lactamase active-site residues, i.e., S70, W105, N132, E166, R220, and T237, are identical to those of KPC-2 (42).

We do not yet know why *Bcc* bacteria maintain PenA*, but several key observations provide the basis for further investigations. First, even though the PenA* derivatives lack two of four Ambler motifs and the Y105 that is present in PenA proteins from *Bpc* bacteria, the substitute motifs are very conserved. This likely serves to maintain the overall structure of the protein, including what constitutes the former active-site pocket (see Fig. S2 in the supplemental material). Second, we previously established that in *Bpc* bacteria penA is the second gene in an operon with *nlpD1* (15), and this arrangement is conserved in *Bcc* bacteria (Fig. S1). NlpD1 is one of two potential periplasmic cell wall hydrolytic amidase activator proteins with properties similar to those of *E. coli* NlpD, including being an OM lipoprotein (43). The NlpD1 domain organization in *B. pseudomallei* and *B. ubonensis* is conserved (data not shown), and therefore, the NlpD1 proteins from both species likely exhibit a similar function(s). As previously stated, we do not yet understand whether the transcriptional association of penA with *nlpD1* is coincidental or implies a functional relationship of the two gene products (15). We hypothesize that the conserved differences in PenA* from *Bcc* and PenA from *Bpc* bacteria can be exploited for the development of diagnostic tools for the rapid distinction between the two bacterial complexes.

Because the PenB enzymes from all examined *B. ubonensis* strains possess identical active-site residues irrespective of their MEM‘ levels, the question arises whether PenB is the main carbapenem resistance determinant or whether there are additional factors that determine high versus low MEM‘ levels in diverse *B. ubonensis* strains. Comparisons of penB expression in a highly MEM‘ strain (Bu278) and an MEM-susceptible (MEM‘) strain (MSMB2152) by reverse transcription-quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR) showed that the differential susceptibility was not attributable to the differential expression or copy number of PenB in the two strains, as has been observed in *B. pseudomallei* (13, 15, 38). Rather, expression of PenB from the highly carbapenem-resistant strain Bu278 in the carbapenem-susceptible strain MSMB2521 and vice versa indicated that intrinsic properties of PenB*bu278* contribute significantly to the carbapenem resistance of Bu278. This is rather surprising, given that both PenB*bu278* and PenB*MSMB2152* contain 297 amino acids, of which 97% are identical, including all Ambler motifs and active-site residues. The two proteins differ by 9 amino acids that are distributed throughout the protein. None of the amino acid changes affect the Ω loop, which is notorious for acquiring single amino acid substitutions that expand the substrate spectrum of class A β-lactamases (44), and none of them are closely associated with any Ambler sequence. The more robust β-lactamase activity of PenB*bu278* and PenB*MSMB2152* observed in *E. coli* is consistent with the notion that the PenB amino acid sequence variation observed between the two strains contributes to differential enzyme activity. Although PenB is a significant contributor to the high carbapenem resistance of strain Bu278, our data suggest that there are additional factors that determine high versus low resistance levels in diverse *B. ubonensis* strains.

In *P. aeruginosa*, the OM protein OprD is a major factor of carbapenem resistance (45). Outer membrane proteins are likely to play a role in *B. ubonensis* MEM‘, since the random transposon mutagenesis approach identified two independent insertions in the gene encoding the OM protein assembly factor BamC (46), which led to a substantially reduced MEM‘ (MIC range, 32–3 μg/ml in the parent to 8 μg/ml in the *bamC* mutant) (Table S2). We have identified a putative *B. ubonensis* OprD homolog
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**FIG 6** Summary of β-lactamases and their predicted genetic and molecular properties in Bpc and Bcc bacteria. *Burkholderia* species produce at least three active β-lactamases, two class A enzymes (PenA and PenB), and one class C enzyme (AmpC). PenA and PenB are lipoproteins that are (i) synthesized as pre-pro (pp)-proteins, (ii) exported across the inner membrane (IM) to the periplasm (PP) by the twin arginine transport (TAT) system, (iii) lipidated and processed by signal peptidase II, and (iv) likely sorted to the OM by the localization of lipoproteins (LOL) system. AmpC is synthesized as a pre (p)-protein, which, after export via the general secretion pathway (Sec), is processed by signal peptidase I and which then resides in the periplasm as a soluble protein. Bpc bacteria possess only PenA. Bcc bacteria possess both PenA* and PenB. Although all Bcc PenA* proteins analyzed possess the TAT secretion and lipidation export and membrane localization signals, the proteins are not functional β-lactamases because they lack two of the four Ambler motifs, including the active-site serine. In Bcc bacteria, the active class A serine β-lactamase is PenB. Some β-lactams are also cleaved by AmpC, which is present in all Bcc bacteria. It is present in a few Bpc bacteria but is absent from its major representatives, including *B. pseudomallei*, *B. mallei*, and *B. thailandensis*. Unlike PenB and AmpC, which are induced in Bcc bacteria after challenge with some β-lactams, PenA and PenA expression is not inducible in Bpc and Bcc bacteria, respectively. In *B. pseudomallei*, it has been shown that penA is transcribed at low levels from the promoter of the upstream *nlpD1* gene and that the ensuing transcript levels are sufficient for resistance to penicillin antibiotics. Extended-spectrum β-lactam resistance, e.g., CAZ, requires PenA overexpression or amino acid changes as the result of acquired regulatory and structural mutations. The PenA secretion pathway and membrane (likely OM) localization have been experimentally confirmed in *B. pseudomallei* and predicted with the SignalP (version 5.0) server (http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/SignalP/) and the TatP (version 1.0) server (http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/TatP/) for all proteins in Bcc bacteria.

**Antimicrobial susceptibility testing.** Susceptibility assays were performed using the broth microdilution (BMD) method and cation-adjusted Mueller-Hinton II broth (Becton, Dickinson and Company, Sparks, MD, USA), following Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute guidelines (55), or Etest, following the manufacturer’s (AB bioMérieux, Marcy l’Etoile, France) guidelines. Ceftazidime (CAZ) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, and imipenem (IMP) and meropenem (MEM) were bought from the United States Pharmacopeia (Rockville, MD). Avibactam was purchased from Advanced ChemBlocks (Burlingame, CA, USA).

**Plasmid and mutant construction.** The plasmids used in this study are listed in Table S4. Plasmids were constructed by PCR amplification of target sequences from genomic (Wizard Genomic DNA purification kit; Promega, Madison, WI) or plasmid (NucleoSpin plasmid kit; Macherey-Nagel, Düren, Germany) DNA templates and assembly of the resulting DNA fragments with restriction enzyme-linearized vector sequences using the NEBuilder HiFi DNA assembly master mix (New England Biolabs). Primers were purchased from Integrated DNA Technologies (Coralville, IA), and the sequences are available from the authors upon request.

Deletion mutants were constructed using the gene replacement vectors and previously described methods, either pJRC115 and p-Ci-Phe counterselection (56) or pEXKm5 or pEDL1005 and either sucrose counterselection (with pEXKm5 and the Δslt mutant only) or I-SceI counterselection (with pEDL1005 and MSNB2152 ΔpenB only) (53). Plasmid-borne deletions were transferred to *B. ubonensis* from *E. coli* mobilizer strain RHO3 as previously described (53). Deletions were verified by PCR and Sanger-sequencing of the ensuing DNA fragments. The *B. ubonensis* deletion mutants are listed in Table S1.

**Deletion mutant complementation.** Deletion strains were complemented using a mini-Tn7 system, which allows for stable and site-specific single-copy insertions into the bacterial genome (57).
predicted and actual glmS-associated attTn7 sites in *B. ubonensis* were identified as described in Text S1. Genes originating from strain Bu278 or MSMB2152 were PCR amplified and, using the NEBuilder HiFi DNA assembly master mix, cloned into pTJ1 under Genes originating from strain Bu278 or MSMB2152 were PCR amplified and, using the NEBuilder HiFi DNA assembly master mix, cloned into pTJ1 under pPlac and AraC or native *B. ubonensis* penB promoter (PpenR), transcriptional control, such that translation was dependent on either an engineered consensus ribosome binding site (RBS) with PpenR constructs (58) or the resident native RBS with Pprom constructs. The respective mini-Tn7 expression constructs were transferred to the target *B. ubonensis* strains via conjugation from *E. coli* RhO3, along with the empty vector–mini-Tn7 element, and glmS-associated insertions were identified by PCR. The complemented *B. ubonensis* deletion mutants are listed in Table S1. Although expression from mini-Tn7-PpenR constructs in LB-grown cells was leaky, full induction of gene expression was achieved by addition of 1% l-arabinose (58).

**β-lactamase expression and secretion in *E. coli***. To assess β-lactamase activities in *E. coli*, the native TAT and lipoprotein signal sequences of *B. pseudomallei* PenA and *B. ubonensis* PenA and PenB, as well as the native signal sequence of *B. ubonensis* AmpC, were replaced by the *E. coli* DsbA signal sequence (ssDsbA) (30), as described in Text S1. This placed the hybrid genes under the transcriptional control of PpenR and translational control of a consensus ribosome binding site, both of which were provided by the vector. Functional expression in *E. coli* GBE180 was achieved (i) by monitoring the growth at 37°C with shaking in microtiter plates containing LB medium with 25 μg/ml chloramphenicol (CHL) alone for vector maintenance or CHL with 100 μg/ml AMP for cells with plasmids harboring the genes for putative β-lactamases, in which the optical density at 600 nm was read at 30-min intervals in a BioTek Epoch 2 microplate reader (Winooski, VT), and (ii) by examining comparative β-lactamase expression in cell extracts (CCE). β-Lactamase activity was determined by nitrocefin hydrolysis, recorded as the increase in the absorbance at 486 nm at 37°C on a BioTek Epoch 2 microplate reader. Each 0.2-ml reaction mixture in microtiter plates contained phosphate-buffered saline (pH 7.4), 0.05 mg/ml nitrocefin, and 5 μg of CFE protein. Details are provided in Text S1.

**Transposon mutagenesis.** Transposon mutagenesis of strain Bu278 was performed using Tn5-based transposon T23 as previously described (15, 59). Briefly, transformants were selected on LB medium containing 100 μg/ml trimethoprim (TMP). TMP-resistant (TMP) colonies were picked and arrayed into 96-well plates containing LB with 100 μg/ml TMP and 10% glycerol. The plates were incubated for 36 h at 37°C and then stored at −80°C. For determination of MEM susceptibilities, the bacteria were replicated onto freshly prepared LB plates with 8 μg/ml MEM. T23 insertion sites in mutants that did not grow on these plates were identified by self-ligation of NotI-digested genomic DNA fragments, followed by the rescue of plasmids containing the TMP® marker and the ori residing on T23 after the transformation of *E. coli* DH5a and Sanger sequencing of the transposon-genome junctions on rescued plasmids (60). Transposon insertion sites were identified by BLAST search sites against the Bu278 shotgun genome sequence (GenBank assembly accession number GCA_002276145.1).

**Construction of a penRbu*/-lacZ fusion and β-galactosidase assays.** For construction of a PenRbu*/-regulated penRbu*/-lacZ transcriptional fusion, a 1,078-bp DNA fragment containing the 891-bp penR gene, the 114-bp penR-penR intergenic region (IR), and the first 73 bp of penRbu* was amplified from Bu278 genomic DNA. Using the NEBuilder HiFi DNA assembly master mix, this PCR fragment was then cloned into pUC18T-mini-Tn7-lacZ-Gm to create pPS3458 containing penR-IR-penRbu*-lacZ on a mini-Tn7 element. This mini-Tn7 element was then transposed into the *B. ubonensis* strain Bu333 (a gentaminic-susceptible Bu278 amR:T23 mutant; Text S1) or the *B. thailandensis* R36 genome along with the empty vector construct. Transformants that contained mini-Tn7-lacZ or mini-Tn7-penR-IR-penRbu*-lacZ were selected and rescued into *E. coli* Bt36 genome along with the empty vector construct. Transformants that contained mini-Tn7-lacZ or mini-Tn7-penR-IR-penRbu*-lacZ integrated at the respective glmS-associated attTn7 sites (glmS3 for Bu333 and glmS2 for R36) were retained. The β-galactosidase (β-Gal) activity in *Burkholderia* strains harboring chromosomally integrated fusion constructs was measured, and activity units were determined by the Miller method (54), using LB-grown log-phase cultures and SDS-chloroform-permeabilized cells, as previously described (15).

**Reverse transcription-quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR).** The expression levels of the mRNA of the target genes were determined in Bu278, its mutant derivatives, and MSMB2152 grown at 37°C in LB medium to the log phase (optical density at 600 nm = 0.6 to 0.8). Total RNA was isolated using an RNasy Protect bacteria minikit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA), and cDNA synthesis was performed as previously described, employing the 23S rRNA gene as the housekeeping control. The primer sets used were Bp23S_F and Bp23S_R for the *B. pseudomallei* 23S rRNA gene (61), P3329 (5′-CATCCTGTCGGCGTTACCG) and P3330 (5′-CGTTAAGATCCGCGTTACG) for penRbu*- penA tua** R3331 (5′-AGTACACGGCAATGCGG) and P3332 (5′-CGTATCTGCGGCGTTACG) for penRbu* penB tua** P3333 (5′-GATCCGGGTATCCTAAGGACTG) and P3334 (5′-GATCGAGATCCGGGCAACATG) for penRbu* penB tua**, and P3335 (5′-TCTCGATCTTGGTACTCC) and P3336 (5′-TCR GCTTGGGGCAGTTTG) for oxa of *B. ubonensis* (oxa** bu**). Expression values were pooled between biological replicates. Using GraphPad Prism software (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA), two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Sidak’s multiple-comparison test were used to determine the significance of the difference in fold mRNA expression levels. *P* values of <0.05 were considered significant.
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