
Charles Darwin University

The causal role between phasic midbrain dopamine signals and learning

Aquili, Luca

Published in:
Frontiers in Behavioral Neuroscience

DOI:
[10.3389/fnbeh.2014.00139](https://doi.org/10.3389/fnbeh.2014.00139)

Published: 25/04/2014

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link to publication](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Aquili, L. (2014). The causal role between phasic midbrain dopamine signals and learning. *Frontiers in Behavioral Neuroscience*, 8(APR), 1-4. [139]. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fnbeh.2014.00139>

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



The causal role between phasic midbrain dopamine signals and learning

Luca Aquili*

Department of Psychology, Sunway University, Bandar Sunway, Petaling Jaya, Malaysia
*Correspondence: lucaa@sunway.edu.my

Edited by:

Angela Roberts, University of Cambridge, UK

Reviewed by:

Roshan Cools, University of Cambridge, UK

Keywords: dopamine, reward prediction error, electrophysiology, errors, behavioral flexibility

Reinforcement learning occurs when organisms adapt behavior on the basis of associations with reward and punishment. Reinforcement learning is a useful algorithm because it is unsupervised, relying on trial-and-error learning under conditions in which the optimal solution is unknown. Recent neural network models of reinforcement learning are based on the neurophysiology of the rat, monkey, and human dopamine systems (Montague et al., 1996; Dayan and Balleine, 2002; Schultz, 2002; Montague et al., 2004; Pan et al., 2008). The main finding of this research is that the dopamine system appears to minimize errors in the prediction of reward through a process called *temporal difference learning*. As predicted by the temporal difference learning models, dopamine neurons respond during the early stages of classical and operant conditioning with a burst of action potentials (a phasic-like response) after reward presentation (Schultz, 1998; O'Doherty et al., 2006). However, after repeated pairings of a given stimulus and reinforcement, the dopamine neurons respond to the onset of the stimulus, be it a conditioned stimulus or a cue that triggers a stereotyped action that results in reward (Mirenowicz and Schultz, 1994). After an association has been formed between the stimulus and reinforcement, dopamine ceases responding to the reinforcer itself (Schultz et al., 1997).

Based on these neurophysiological data, reinforcement learning models have proposed that the role of the midbrain phasic DA neurons is to act as a teaching signal which adjusts reward prediction errors and broadcasts such information to upstream cell populations involved

in reward learning such as the nucleus accumbens (NAc) (Joel et al., 2002; Wassum et al., 2013). More recently, a number of computational studies have added another layer of complexity to their models by incorporating the idea of incentive motivation as a way to better capture the role of dopamine in reward learning (McClure et al., 2003; Niv, 2007; Zhang et al., 2009; Morita et al., 2013). This has largely been based on findings from lesion and pharmacological studies whereby it has been hypothesized that dopamine neurons respond to conditioned stimuli by invigorating instrumental actions that lead to the obtainment of rewards (Berridge et al., 2009; Wassum et al., 2011).

In the meantime, a number of authors have suggested that because midbrain dopamine neurons also respond to aversive and salient stimuli by phasic DA activations (Matsumoto and Hikosaka, 2009; Cohen et al., 2012; Ilango et al., 2012; Tan et al., 2012; Brooks and Berns, 2013; Fiorillo et al., 2013), that their role in encoding reward prediction errors may be more limited than first envisaged (Horvitz et al., 1997; Redgrave and Gurney, 2006; Redgrave et al., 2008; May et al., 2009; Thirkettle et al., 2013). The scope of this Opinion article, however, is not to assess the validity of such claims.

On the contrary, the aim of this article is to focus on one area of research that has received relatively little attention, namely, how the phasic DA signal may be causally related to action selection, goal-directed behavior, and behavioral flexibility. This is partially because the vast majority of studies which have explored whether DA neurons may encode more than reward prediction errors (e.g.,

including measures related to behavioral flexibility such as reward value, reward probability, choice behavior, discounting of delayed rewards) (Fiorillo et al., 2003, 2008; Morris et al., 2006; Roesch et al., 2007; Takahashi et al., 2009; Bromberg-Martin et al., 2010a,b; Nomoto et al., 2010), have been based upon electrophysiological data, which by their very nature can only support a correlation between neuronal activation and inhibition with behavior but cannot establish causation. This has been acknowledged by a statement from Wolfram Schultz who declared that “although the prediction error response of dopamine neurons would make a good teaching signal, the bulk of the available data are correlational” (Schultz, 2010). Therefore, to establish causation we will look at a number of recent studies that have used primarily, optogenetic, voltammetry and pharmacological interventions and that may provide an answer to this question.

With the recent introduction of optogenetics, for example, it has been possible to perturb neural activity at millisecond timescales and directly relate this manipulation to an array of behaviors including sleep, anxiety, depression, and fear, to name but a few (Rolls et al., 2011; Kim et al., 2013; Tye et al., 2013; Courtin et al., 2014). More specifically, midbrain DA neurons and their striatal projections have also been selectively targeted resulting in behavioral modifications of food intake, cocaine consumption, conditioned place preference and aversion (by inhibition of DA activity via GABAergic VTA cells) (Tsai et al., 2009; Lobo et al., 2010; Domingos et al., 2011; Tan et al., 2012).

Optogenetic targeting of midbrain DA cells and their striatal projections, has also revealed interesting observations regarding their causal role in reward prediction, and possibly, behavioral flexibility. With regards to the causal role of DA in reward prediction (Kim et al., 2012), the authors showed that phasic activation of VTA DA neurons after a nose poke could drive operant responses in the absence of food reward. In another laboratory, a blocking procedure was used to demonstrate that activation of DA neurons at the time of reward delivery during compound stimulus presentation could artificially produce a conditioned response to the normally blocked cue. In other words, phasic DA stimulation at a point in time (reward delivery) when this would normally be absent could unblock learning (Steinberg et al., 2013).

In a separate study looking at manipulation of the GABAergic cells of the VTA on reward learning and its effect on DA release, optogenetic stimulation of VTA GABAergic neurons disrupted consummatory behavior but not if the VTA GABA projections to the NAc were targeted. Moreover, stimulation of the GABA neurons suppressed VTA DA firing and release in the NAc (Van Zessen et al., 2012). In a further study to characterize the VTA GABA projections to the NAc, it was found that activation of this pathway selectively inhibited cholinergic neurons of the NAc which in turn increased associative learning of an aversive predictive cue (Brown et al., 2012). Importantly, this effect was dopamine independent, as stimulation of GABA terminals in the NAc did not change baseline firing of VTA DA cells. Taken together, these studies confirm that within the VTA, DA activity regulates aspects related to appetitive reward learning. Moreover, these data highlight how the encoding of an aversive outcome may not only be signaled by DA cells projecting to the NAc but also by activation of cholinergic cells in the NAc that receive preferential input from VTA GABA neurons, extending the results from previous investigations (Tan et al., 2012).

With regards to the causal role of DA in behavioral flexibility, in a recent study (Adamantidis et al., 2011), the authors targeted the dopaminergic neurons of the VTA by injecting channelrhodopsin-2

(ChR2) in *Th-Cre* mice. The initial behavioral paradigm required mice to bar press one of two levers. The “active” lever resulted in food delivery plus optogenetic stimulation whereas bar pressing on the “inactive” lever resulted in the delivery of food only. Compared to controls (YFP mice), phasic DA stimulation enhanced the effects of food-reward seeking (i.e., mice bar pressed the active lever preferentially over the inactive). Interestingly, they also found that after a series of extinction sessions during which no food reward or phasic DA stimulation occurred, preferential lever pressing (to the initial active lever) could be reestablished by DA stimulation in the absence of both external cues and, critically, food reward. Finally, the authors used a reversal learning session where the relationship between the active (optical stimulation + no food reward) and inactive (no optical stimulation + no food reward) levers were switched, and demonstrated that ChR2 mice switched their lever pressing to the previously inactive lever compared to control mice. This finding is particularly important because it suggests that not only is the phasic DA signal driving and enhancing simple stimulus-reward associations but it is also causally involved in flexible behavioral adaptations that occur as a result of changes in stimulus-reward contingencies.

Behavioral flexibility has also been tested by optogenetic manipulations of dopamine receiving NAc neurons. In a recent study, dopamine D1 and D2 receptors were selectively targeted while *D1-cre* and *D2-cre* mice were performing a probabilistic switching task (Tai et al., 2012). The results showed that activation of D1 and D2 neurons was effective at increasing lose-shift behavior (i.e., moving from an incorrect to a correct response) compared to controls but had no effect on win-stay performance (i.e., repeating the previously rewarded response). Moreover, the effect was dependent on whether stimulation occurred before movement initiation but not if it was delayed by 150 ms. Interestingly, we recently found (Aquila et al., 2014) that non-specific optogenetic inhibition and not excitation of NAc shell neurons increased lose-shift behavior but only if the inhibition occurred during feedback of results (between lever pressing and rewards or non-rewards) but not

during action selection (preceding a lever press). We speculated that inhibition of NAc cells during specific time segments may have weakened reward expectancy signals which would in turn facilitate switching to a correct response after an error.

Differential effects between NAc core and shell on learning have been observed using fast-scan cyclic voltammetry which may explain the contradictory findings from the two previous optogenetic studies. In fact, in one study cue-evoked dopamine release was larger and longer lasting in the NAc shell than in the core during goal-directed behavior for sucrose (Cacciapaglia et al., 2012). In two related studies, it was also found that concentrations of cue-evoked DA release closely tracked differences in reward magnitude in the NAc shell (Beyene et al., 2010) and reward delays in both NAc core and shell (Wanat et al., 2010). DA reward prediction error signals in the NAc core have also been reported using voltammetry (Hart et al., 2014). Here, using a probabilistic decision-making task, the authors found that dopamine concentrations varied systematically as differing degrees of reward uncertainty were introduced, in a manner closely resembling the predictions of reinforcement learning models and electrophysiological data of VTA DA neurons. Similarly, the observation that the DA phasic response to rewards gradually shifts to the earliest predictor of reinforcement over the course of learning as predicted by temporal difference models (Sutton and Barto, 1981) and validated by DA electrophysiological recordings, has been confirmed by voltammetric data (Sunsay and Rebec, 2008). These findings are important because changes in firing rates may not always reflect changes in DA release (Youngren et al., 1993), and these voltammetric data allow us to better establish the causal role of DA in reward learning.

Data from pharmacological manipulation of (mostly) dopamine D1 and D2 function in the striatum is another important component to take into account when trying to establish a causal link between neural activity and behavior. Dopamine depletion, for example, in the dorsomedial striatum results in reversal learning impairments (O’Neill and Brown, 2007). Moreover, in stimulant

dependent individuals who display perseverative behaviors following an incorrect response during a reversal learning task, administration of a dopamine D2/3 antagonist reduced perseverative errors and improved caudate nucleus function (Ersche et al., 2011), and in separate study, administration of a D2 antagonist enhanced reward related prediction error signals in the striatum (Jocham et al., 2011). Conversely, stimulation of D2 (but not D1) receptors using the agonist quinpirole impaired goal-directed behavior and decision making (St Onge et al., 2011; Naneix et al., 2013) and broad inactivation of caudate nucleus cells disrupted the ability for flexible responses based on previous reward history (Muranishi et al., 2011). Interestingly, in monkeys, D2 receptor availability in the dorsal striatum was correlated with the number of reversal learning errors (Groman et al., 2011). Overall, these data suggest that abnormal increases/decreases in striatum DA activity via D1/D2 receptors causally influence several important measures of behavioral flexibility.

Studies that have looked at increasing dopamine concentration have demonstrated that DA stimulation by injection of amphetamine in the NAc core or shell increased instrumental responding to a conditioned stimulus predictive of reward (Pecina and Berridge, 2013), and administration of the dopamine precursor L-DOPA in older adults restored reward prediction error signaling (Chowdhury et al., 2013).

In conclusion, increasing evidence from optogenetic, voltammetry, and pharmacological studies over the recent years have added a new dimension to the established but mostly correlation role between the midbrain DA neurons and reward learning. This evidence suggests that this phasic response may have a causal role not only in reward prediction error signaling, but also in driving flexible behavioral adaptations to changes in stimulus-reward contingencies.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author would like to thank E. M. Bowman for helpful input.

REFERENCES

Adamantidis, A. R., Tsai, H. C., Boutrel, B., Zhang, F., Stuber, G. D., Budygin, E. A., et al. (2011).

Optogenetic interrogation of dopaminergic modulation of the multiple phases of reward-seeking behavior. *J. Neurosci.* 31, 10829–10835. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2246-11.2011

Aquilí, L., Liu, A. W., Shindou, M., Shindou, T., and Wickens, J. R. (2014). Behavioral flexibility is increased by optogenetic inhibition of neurons in the nucleus accumbens shell during specific time segments. *Learn. Mem.* 21, 223–231. doi: 10.1101/lm.034199.113

Berridge, K. C., Robinson, T. E., and Aldridge, J. W. (2009). Dissecting components of reward: 'liking', 'wanting', and learning. *Curr. Opin. Pharmacol.* 9, 65–73. doi: 10.1016/j.coph.2008.12.014

Bevone, M., Carelli, R. M., and Wightman, R. M. (2010). Cue-evoked dopamine release in the nucleus accumbens shell tracks reinforcer magnitude during intracranial self-stimulation. *Neuroscience* 169, 1682–1688. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroscience.2010.06.047

Bromberg-Martin, E. S., Matsumoto, M., and Hikosaka, O. (2010a). Dopamine in motivational control: rewarding, aversive, and alerting. *Neuron* 68, 815–834. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2010.11.022

Bromberg-Martin, E. S., Matsumoto, M., Hong, S., and Hikosaka, O. (2010b). A pallidum-habenula-dopamine pathway signals inferred stimulus values. *J. Neurophysiol.* 104, 1068–1076. doi: 10.1152/jn.00158.2010

Brooks, A. M., and Berns, G. S. (2013). Aversive stimuli and loss in the mesocorticolimbic dopamine system. *Trends Cogn. Sci.* 17, 281–286. doi: 10.1016/j.tics.2013.04.001

Brown, M. T., Tan, K. R., O'Connor, E. C., Nikonenko, I., Muller, D., and Luscher, C. (2012). Ventral tegmental area GABA projections pause accumbal cholinergic interneurons to enhance associative learning. *Nature* 492, 452–456. doi: 10.1038/nature11657

Cacciapaglia, F., Saddoris, M. P., Wightman, R. M., and Carelli, R. M. (2012). Differential dopamine release dynamics in the nucleus accumbens core and shell track distinct aspects of goal-directed behavior for sucrose. *Neuropharmacology* 62, 2050–2056. doi: 10.1016/j.neuropharm.2011.12.027

Chowdhury, R., Guitart-Masip, M., Lambert, C., Dayan, P., Huys, Q., Duzel, E., et al. (2013). Dopamine restores reward prediction errors in old age. *Nat. Neurosci.* 16, 648–653. doi: 10.1038/nn.3364

Cohen, J. Y., Haesler, S., Vong, L., Lowell, B. B., and Uchida, N. (2012). Neuron-type-specific signals for reward and punishment in the ventral tegmental area. *Nature* 482, 85–88. doi: 10.1038/nature10754

Courtin, J., Chaudun, F., Rozeske, R. R., Karalis, N., Gonzalez-Campo, C., Wurtz, H., et al. (2014). Prefrontal parvalbumin interneurons shape neuronal activity to drive fear expression. *Nature* 505, 92–96. doi: 10.1038/nature12755

Dayan, P., and Balleine, B. W. (2002). Reward, motivation, and reinforcement learning. *Neuron* 36, 285–298. doi: 10.1016/S0896-6273(02)00963-7

Domingos, A. I., Vaynshteyn, J., Voss, H. U., Ren, X., Gradinaru, V., Zang, F., et al. (2011). Leptin regulates the reward value of nutrient. *Nat. Neurosci.* 14, 1562–1568. doi: 10.1038/nn.2977

Ersche, K. D., Roiser, J. P., Abbott, S., Craig, K. J., Muller, U., Suckling, J., et al. (2011). Response perseveration in stimulant dependence is associated with striatal dysfunction and can be ameliorated by a D(2/3) receptor agonist. *Biol. Psychiatry* 70, 754–762. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2011.06.033

Fiorillo, C. D., Newsome, W. T., and Schultz, W. (2008). The temporal precision of reward prediction in dopamine neurons. *Nat. Neurosci.* 11, 966–973. doi: 10.1038/nn.2159

Fiorillo, C. D., Tobler, P. N., and Schultz, W. (2003). Discrete coding of reward probability and uncertainty by dopamine neurons. *Science* 299, 1898–1902. doi: 10.1126/science.1077349

Fiorillo, C. D., Yun, S. R., and Song, M. R. (2013). Diversity and homogeneity in responses of midbrain dopamine neurons. *J. Neurosci.* 33, 4693–4709. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3886-12.2013

Groman, S. M., Lee, B., London, E. D., Mandelkern, M. A., James, A. S., Feiler, K., et al. (2011). Dorsal striatal D2-like receptor availability covaries with sensitivity to positive reinforcement during discrimination learning. *J. Neurosci.* 31, 7291–7299. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0363-11.2011

Hart, A. S., Rutledge, R. B., Glimcher, P. W., and Phillips, P. E. (2014). Phasic dopamine release in the rat nucleus accumbens symmetrically encodes a reward prediction error term. *J. Neurosci.* 34, 698–704. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2489-13.2014

Horvitz, J. C., Stewart, T., and Jacobs, B. L. (1997). Burst activity of ventral tegmental dopamine neurons is elicited by sensory stimuli in the awake cat. *Brain Res.* 759, 251–258. doi: 10.1016/S0006-8993(97)00265-5

Ilango, A., Shumake, J., Wetzel, W., Scheich, H., and Ohl, F. W. (2012). The role of dopamine in the context of aversive stimuli with particular reference to acoustically signaled avoidance learning. *Front. Neurosci.* 6:132. doi: 10.3389/fnins.2012.00132

Jocham, G., Klein, T. A., and Ullsperger, M. (2011). Dopamine-mediated reinforcement learning signals in the striatum and ventromedial prefrontal cortex underlie value-based choices. *J. Neurosci.* 31, 1606–1613. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3904-10.2011

Joel, D., Niv, Y., and Ruppín, E. (2002). Actor-critic models of the basal ganglia: new anatomical and computational perspectives. *Neural Netw.* 15, 535–547. doi: 10.1016/S0893-6080(02)00047-3

Kim, K. M., Baratta, M. V., Yang, A., Lee, D., Boyden, E. S., and Fiorillo, C. D. (2012). Optogenetic mimicry of the transient activation of dopamine neurons by natural reward is sufficient for operant reinforcement. *PLoS ONE* 7:e33612. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0033612

Kim, S. Y., Adhikari, A., Lee, S. Y., Marshal, J. H., Kim, C. K., Mallory, C. S., et al. (2013). Diverging neural pathways assemble a behavioural state from separable features in anxiety. *Nature* 496, 219–223. doi: 10.1038/nature12018

Lobo, M. K., Covington, H. E. 3rd., Chaudhury, D., Friedman, A. K., Sun, H., Dames-Werno, D., et al. (2010). Cell type-specific loss of BDNF signaling mimics optogenetic control of cocaine reward. *Science* 330, 385–390. doi: 10.1126/science.1188472

Matsumoto, M., and Hikosaka, O. (2009). Two types of dopamine neuron distinctly convey positive

- and negative motivational signals. *Nature* 459, 837–841. doi: 10.1038/nature08028
- May, P. J., McHaffie, J. G., Stanford, T. R., Jiang, H., Costello, M. G., Coizet, V., et al. (2009). Tectonigral projections in the primate: a pathway for pre-attentive sensory input to midbrain dopaminergic neurons. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* 29, 575–587. doi: 10.1111/j.1460-9568.2008.06596.x
- McClure, S. M., Daw, N. D., and Montague, P. R. (2003). A computational substrate for incentive salience. *Trends Neurosci.* 26, 423–428. doi: 10.1016/S0166-2236(03)00177-2
- Mirenowicz, J., and Schultz, W. (1994). Importance of unpredictability for reward responses in primate dopamine neurons. *J. Neurophysiol.* 72, 1024–1027.
- Montague, P. R., Dayan, P., and Sejnowski, T. J. (1996). A framework for mesencephalic dopamine systems based on predictive Hebbian learning. *J. Neurosci.* 16, 1936–1947.
- Montague, P. R., Hyman, S. E., and Cohen, J. D. (2004). Computational roles for dopamine in behavioural control. *Nature* 431, 760–767. doi: 10.1038/nature03015
- Morita, K., Morishima, M., Sakai, K., and Kawaguchi, Y. (2013). Dopaminergic control of motivation and reinforcement learning: a closed-circuit account for reward-oriented behavior. *J. Neurosci.* 33, 8866–8890. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4614-12.2013
- Morris, G., Nevet, A., Arkadir, D., Vaadia, E., and Bergman, H. (2006). Midbrain dopamine neurons encode decisions for future action. *Nat. Neurosci.* 9, 1057–1063. doi: 10.1038/nn1743
- Muranishi, M., Inokawa, H., Yamada, H., Ueda, Y., Matsumoto, N., Nakagawa, M., et al. (2011). Inactivation of the putamen selectively impairs reward history-based action selection. *Exp. Brain Res.* 209, 235–246. doi: 10.1007/s00221-011-2545-y
- Naneix, F., Marchand, A. R., Pichon, A., Pape, J. R., and Coutureau, E. (2013). Adolescent stimulation of D2 receptors alters the maturation of dopamine-dependent goal-directed behavior. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 38, 1566–1574. doi: 10.1038/npp.2013.55
- Niv, Y. (2007). Cost, benefit, tonic, phasic: what do response rates tell us about dopamine and motivation? *Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci.* 1104, 357–376. doi: 10.1196/annals.1390.018
- Nomoto, K., Schultz, W., Watanabe, T., and Sakagami, M. (2010). Temporally extended dopamine responses to perceptually demanding reward-predictive stimuli. *J. Neurosci.* 30, 10692–10702. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4828-09.2010
- O'Doherty, J. P., Buchanan, T. W., Seymour, B., and Dolan, R. J. (2006). Predictive neural coding of reward preference involves dissociable responses in human ventral midbrain and ventral striatum. *Neuron* 49, 157–166. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2005.11.014
- O'Neill, M., and Brown, V. J. (2007). The effect of striatal dopamine depletion and the adenosine A2A antagonist KW-6002 on reversal learning in rats. *Neurobiol. Learn. Mem.* 88, 75–81. doi: 10.1016/j.nlm.2007.03.003
- Pan, W. X., Schmidt, R., Wickens, J. R., and Hyland, B. I. (2008). Tripartite mechanism of extinction suggested by dopamine neuron activity and temporal difference model. *J. Neurosci.* 28, 9619–9631. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0255-08.2008
- Pecina, S., and Berridge, K. C. (2013). Dopamine or opioid stimulation of nucleus accumbens similarly amplify cue-triggered 'wanting' for reward: entire core and medial shell mapped as substrates for PIT enhancement. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* 37, 1529–1540. doi: 10.1111/ejn.12174
- Redgrave, P., and Gurney, K. (2006). The short-latency dopamine signal: a role in discovering novel actions? *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 7, 967–975. doi: 10.1038/nrn2022
- Redgrave, P., Gurney, K., and Reynolds, J. (2008). What is reinforced by phasic dopamine signals? *Brain Res. Rev.* 58, 322–339. doi: 10.1016/j.brainresrev.2007.10.007
- Roesch, M. R., Calu, D. J., and Schoenbaum, G. (2007). Dopamine neurons encode the better option in rats deciding between differently delayed or sized rewards. *Nat. Neurosci.* 10, 1615–1624. doi: 10.1038/nn2013
- Rolls, A., Colas, D., Adamantidis, A., Carter, M., Lanre-Amos, T., Heller, H. C., et al. (2011). Optogenetic disruption of sleep continuity impairs memory consolidation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 108, 13305–13310. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1015633108
- Schultz, W. (1998). Predictive reward signal of dopamine neurons. *J. Neurophysiol.* 80, 1–27.
- Schultz, W. (2002). Getting formal with dopamine and reward. *Neuron* 36, 241–263. doi: 10.1016/S0896-6273(02)00967-4
- Schultz, W. (2010). Multiple functions of dopamine neurons. *F1000 Biol. Rep.* 2:2. doi: 10.3410/B2-2
- Schultz, W., Dayan, P., and Montague, P. R. (1997). A neural substrate of prediction and reward. *Science* 275, 1593–1599. doi: 10.1126/science.275.5306.1593
- Steinberg, E. E., Keiflin, R., Boivin, J. R., Witten, I. B., Deisseroth, K., and Janak, P. H. (2013). A causal link between prediction errors, dopamine neurons and learning. *Nat. Neurosci.* 16, 966–973. doi: 10.1038/nn.3413
- St Onge, J. R., Abhari, H., and Floresco, S. B. (2011). Dissociable contributions by prefrontal D1 and D2 receptors to risk-based decision making. *J. Neurosci.* 31, 8625–8633. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1020-11.2011
- Sunsay, C., and Rebec, G. V. (2008). Real-time dopamine efflux in the nucleus accumbens core during Pavlovian conditioning. *Behav. Neurosci.* 122, 358–367. doi: 10.1037/0735-7044.122.2.358
- Sutton, R. S., and Barto, A. G. (1981). Toward a modern theory of adaptive networks: expectation and prediction. *Psychol. Rev.* 88, 135–170. doi: 10.1037/0033-295X.88.2.135
- Tai, L. H., Lee, A. M., Benavidez, N., Bonci, A., and Wilbrecht, L. (2012). Transient stimulation of distinct subpopulations of striatal neurons mimics changes in action value. *Nat. Neurosci.* 15, 1281–1289. doi: 10.1038/nn.3188
- Takahashi, Y. K., Roesch, M. R., Stalnaker, T. A., Haney, R. Z., Calu, D. J., Taylor, A. R., et al. (2009). The orbitofrontal cortex and ventral tegmental area are necessary for learning from unexpected outcomes. *Neuron* 62, 269–280. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2009.03.005
- Tan, K. R., Yvon, C., Turiault, M., Mirzabekov, J. J., Doehner, J., Labouebe, G., et al. (2012). GABA neurons of the VTA drive conditioned place aversion. *Neuron* 73, 1173–1183. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2012.02.015
- Thirkettle, M., Walton, T., Shah, A., Gurney, K., Redgrave, P., and Stafford, T. (2013). The path to learning: action acquisition is impaired when visual reinforcement signals must first access cortex. *Behav. Brain Res.* 243, 267–272. doi: 10.1016/j.bbr.2013.01.023
- Tsai, H. C., Zhang, F., Adamantidis, A., Stuber, G. D., Bonci, A., De Lecea, L., et al. (2009). Phasic firing in dopaminergic neurons is sufficient for behavioural conditioning. *Science* 324, 1080–1084. doi: 10.1126/science.1168878
- Tye, K. M., Mirzabekov, J. J., Warden, M. R., Ferenczi, E. A., Tsai, H. C., Finkelshtein, J., et al. (2013). Dopamine neurons modulate neural encoding and expression of depression-related behaviour. *Nature* 493, 537–541. doi: 10.1038/nature11740
- Van Zessen, R., Phillips, J. L., Budygin, E. A., and Stuber, G. D. (2012). Activation of VTA GABA neurons disrupts reward consumption. *Neuron* 73, 1184–1194. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2012.02.016
- Wanat, M. J., Kuhn, C. M., and Phillips, P. E. (2010). Delays conferred by escalating costs modulate dopamine release to rewards but not their predictors. *J. Neurosci.* 30, 12020–12027. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2691-10.2010
- Wassum, K. M., Ostlund, S. B., Balleine, B. W., and Maidment, N. T. (2011). Differential dependence of Pavlovian incentive motivation and instrumental incentive learning processes on dopamine signaling. *Learn. Mem.* 18, 475–483. doi: 10.1101/lm.2229311
- Wassum, K. M., Ostlund, S. B., Loewinger, G. C., and Maidment, N. T. (2013). Phasic mesolimbic dopamine release tracks reward seeking during expression of pavlovian-to-instrumental transfer. *Biol. Psychiatry* 73, 747–755. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2012.12.005
- Youngren, K. D., Daly, D. A., and Moghaddam, B. (1993). Distinct actions of endogenous excitatory amino acids on the outflow of dopamine in the nucleus accumbens. *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.* 264, 289–293.
- Zhang, J., Berridge, K. C., Tindell, A. J., Smith, K. S., and Aldridge, J. W. (2009). A neural computational model of incentive salience. *PLoS Comput. Biol.* 5:e1000437. doi: 10.1371/journal.pcbi.1000437

Conflict of Interest Statement: The author declares that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Received: 13 January 2014; accepted: 04 April 2014; published online: 25 April 2014.

Citation: Aquila L (2014) The causal role between phasic midbrain dopamine signals and learning. *Front. Behav. Neurosci.* 8:139. doi: 10.3389/fnbeh.2014.00139

This article was submitted to the journal *Frontiers in Behavioral Neuroscience*.

Copyright © 2014 Aquila. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.