



Charles Darwin University

Broad decline of populations of large old trees

Lindenmayer, David B.; Banks, Sam C.; Laurance, William F.; Franklin, Jerry F.; Likens, Gene E.

Published in:
Conservation Letters

DOI:
[10.1111/conl.12079](https://doi.org/10.1111/conl.12079)

Published: 01/01/2014

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link to publication](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Lindenmayer, D. B., Banks, S. C., Laurance, W. F., Franklin, J. F., & Likens, G. E. (2014). Broad decline of populations of large old trees. *Conservation Letters*, 7(1), 72-73. <https://doi.org/10.1111/conl.12079>

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Broad Decline of Populations of Large Old Trees

David B. Lindenmayer¹, Sam C. Banks¹, William F. Laurance², Jerry F. Franklin³, & Gene E. Likens⁴

¹ Fenner School of Environment and Society, The Australian National University, Canberra, ACT 0200, Australia

² Centre for Tropical Environmental and Sustainability Science (TESS), and School of Marine and Tropical Biology, James Cook University, Cairns, Queensland 4878, Australia

³ School of Environmental and Forest Science, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington 98195, USA

⁴ Cary Institute of Ecosystem Studies, Millbrook, New York, 12545, USA; Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of Connecticut, Storrs, Connecticut 06268, USA

Keywords

Conservation; trees; decline; old trees; tree conservation.

Correspondence

David B. Lindenmayer, Fenner School of Environment and Society, The Australian National University, Canberra, ACT 0200, Australia.

E-mail: david.lindenmayer@anu.edu.au

Received

29 October 2013

Accepted

3 December 2013

Editor

Andrew Knight

doi: 10.1111/conl.12079

Faison (2013) suggested the dynamics of large old tree populations is more complicated than discussed in Lindenmayer *et al.* (2013) and presented inventory information indicating the **volume** of these trees appears to be increasing in some ecosystems. We welcome such temporal changes but suggest the oversimplified perspective by Faison (2013) is misleading.

We acknowledge that in places like much of the eastern U.S.A. and Europe, where most native forests were formerly cleared, there has indeed been recovery and this eventually will result in greater volume (and probably greater numbers) of large trees. However, recovery is from a very low baseline due to past clearing (D'Amato *et al.* 2009). In addition, although there are some bigger trees in these forests, it does not mean they are fully mature (see below). Moreover, the inventory databases cited by Faison (2013) represent only a small fraction of the economic and ecological scenarios under which forests are managed. They also fail to shed light on the ecological processes threatening the abundance and overall population dynamics of large old trees in the vast majority of ecosystems (Lindenmayer *et al.* 2012). For example, these databases do not reflect the impacts of high rates

of logging and/or clearing imperilling large old trees in such places as rainforests (Edwards & Laurance 2009) and forests in western North America, Siberia, and southeastern Australia. Similarly, Faison (2013) fails to recognize that in some forests, such as mixed conifer ecosystems in southwestern U.S.A. which are subject to frequent fire, rapid growth of large young trees is not a positive outcome but a major ecological problem. Large young trees provide fuel ladders and competition for resources that threaten the abundance of large old Ponderosa Pine trees which are key structural elements in these ecosystems.

Faison (2013) also fails to make the critical distinction between large *old* trees and large young trees. Large young trees lack most of the distinctive and ecologically important features that only come with age—i.e., large old trees are not simply enlarged versions of younger trees. A key ingredient is time and hence the abundance and persistence of attributes like cavities and large lateral branches depends upon much more than growth rates (Franklin *et al.* 2002; Gibbons & Lindenmayer 2002). This is why we emphasized the term large *old* trees in our review (Lindenmayer *et al.* 2013).

There is no doubt that increasing tree volume is important for trees to eventually become large old trees.

But an impaired growth rate is rarely the underlying ecological problem driving population declines of large old trees. Addressing the drivers threatening populations of large old trees is therefore crucial to the persistence of these keystone structures (Lindenmayer *et al.* 2013). As outlined in our review, special management practices are needed over timeframes well beyond those typical of resource management policies to protect existing populations of large old trees, promote the eventual recruitment of new trees, and ensure that their key ecological and other values are maintained (Lindenmayer *et al.* 2013).

References

- D'Amato, A.W., Orwig, D.A. & Foster, D.R. (2009) Understorey vegetation in old-growth and second-growth *Tsuga canadensis* forests in western Massachusetts. *Forest Ecol. Manage.*, **257**, 1043-1052.
- Edwards, D. & Laurance, W. (2009). Biodiversity despite selective logging. *Science*, **339**, 646-647.
- Faison, E.K. (2013). Large old tree declines at broad scales: a more complicated story. *Conserv. Lett.*, **7**, 70-71.
- Franklin, J.F., Spies, T.A., van Pelt, R. *et al.* (2002). Disturbances and the structural development of natural forest ecosystems with silvicultural implications, using Douglas-fir forests as an example. *Forest Ecol. Manage.*, **155**, 399-423.
- Gibbons, P. & Lindenmayer, D.B. (2002). *Tree hollows and wildlife conservation in Australia*. CSIRO Publishing, Melbourne.
- Lindenmayer, D.B., Blanchard, W., McBurney, L. *et al.* (2012). Interacting factors driving a major loss of large trees with cavities in an iconic forest ecosystem. *PLoS One*, **7**, e41864.
- Lindenmayer, D.B., Laurance, W.F., Franklin, J.F. *et al.* (2013). New policies for old trees: averting a global crisis in a keystone ecological structure. *Conserv. Lett.*, **7**, 61-69.